# Euclid: Quick Data Release (Q1) – Photometric studies of known transients\*

C. Duffy<sup>(b\*\*1</sup>, E. Cappellaro<sup>(b2</sup>, M. T. Botticella<sup>(b3</sup>, I. M. Hook<sup>(b1</sup>, F. Poidevin<sup>(b4, 5</sup>, T. J. Moriya<sup>(b6, 7, 8)</sup>, A. A. Chrimes<sup>(19, 10)</sup>, V. Petrecca<sup>(10,3,11)</sup>, K. Paterson<sup>(12)</sup>, A. Goobar<sup>(13)</sup>, L. Galbany<sup>(14,15)</sup>, R. Kotak<sup>(10)</sup>, C. Gall<sup>[]</sup><sup>17</sup>, C. M. Gutierrez<sup>[]</sup><sup>18</sup>, C. Tao<sup>[]</sup><sup>19</sup>, L. Izzo<sup>[]</sup><sup>3</sup>, N. Aghanim<sup>[]</sup><sup>20</sup>, B. Altieri<sup>[]</sup><sup>21</sup>, A. Amara<sup>22</sup>, S. Andreon<sup>123</sup>, N. Auricchio<sup>124</sup>, C. Baccigalupi<sup>125, 26, 27, 28</sup>, M. Baldi<sup>129, 24, 30</sup>, A. Balestra<sup>12</sup>, S. Bardelli<sup>124</sup>, A. Basset<sup>31</sup>, P. Battaglia<sup>1024</sup>, A. Biviano<sup>1026,25</sup>, A. Bonchi<sup>1032</sup>, E. Branchini<sup>1033,34,23</sup>, M. Brescia<sup>1035,3</sup>, J. Brinchmann<sup>1</sup><sup>36,37</sup>, S. Camera<sup>1</sup><sup>38,39,40</sup>, V. Capobianco<sup>1</sup><sup>40</sup>, C. Carbone<sup>1</sup><sup>41</sup>, J. Carretero<sup>1</sup><sup>42,43</sup>, R. Casas<sup>15,44</sup>, S. Casas<sup>1045</sup>, M. Castellano<sup>1046</sup>, G. Castignani<sup>1024</sup>, S. Cavuoti<sup>103,47</sup>, A. Cimatti<sup>48</sup>, C. Colodro-Conde<sup>4</sup>, G. Congedo<sup>[149</sup>, C. J. Conselice<sup>[150</sup>, L. Conversi<sup>[151,21</sup>, Y. Copin<sup>[152</sup>, F. Courbin<sup>[153,54</sup>, H. M. Courtois<sup>[155]</sup> M. Cropper<sup>1056</sup>, A. Da Silva<sup>1057, 58</sup>, H. Degaudenzi<sup>1059</sup>, G. De Lucia<sup>1026</sup>, A. M. Di Giorgio<sup>1060</sup>, C. Dolding<sup>1056</sup>, H. Dole<sup>[D20</sup>, F. Dubath<sup>[D59</sup>, C. A. J. Duncan<sup>[D50</sup>, X. Dupac<sup>21</sup>, S. Dusini<sup>[D61</sup>, A. Ealet<sup>[D52</sup>, S. Escoffier<sup>[D19</sup>, M. Farina<sup>60</sup>, F. Faustini<sup>32,46</sup>, S. Ferriol<sup>52</sup>, S. Fotopoulou<sup>62</sup>, M. Frailis<sup>26</sup>, P. Franzetti<sup>41</sup>, S. Galeotta<sup>26</sup> K. George<sup>63</sup>, B. Gillis<sup>49</sup>, C. Giocoli<sup>24, 30</sup>, P. Gómez-Alvarez<sup>64, 21</sup>, A. Grazian<sup>2</sup>, F. Grupp<sup>65, 63</sup>, S. Gwyn<sup>66</sup>, S. V. H. Haugan<sup>67</sup>, J. Hoar<sup>21</sup>, H. Hoekstra<sup>68</sup>, W. Holmes<sup>69</sup>, F. Hormuth<sup>70</sup>, A. Hornstrup<sup>71, 72</sup>, P. Hudelot<sup>73</sup>, K. Jahnke<sup>[]</sup><sup>12</sup>, M. Jhabvala<sup>74</sup>, E. Keihänen<sup>[]</sup><sup>75</sup>, S. Kermiche<sup>[]</sup><sup>19</sup>, B. Kubik<sup>[]</sup><sup>52</sup>, K. Kuijken<sup>[]</sup><sup>68</sup>, M. Kümmel<sup>[]</sup><sup>63</sup>, M. Kunz<sup>1076</sup>, H. Kurki-Suonio<sup>1077,78</sup>, Q. Le Boulc'h<sup>79</sup>, A. M. C. Le Brun<sup>1080</sup>, D. Le Mignant<sup>1081</sup>, P. Liebing<sup>56</sup>, S. Ligori<sup>1040</sup>, P. B. Lilje<sup>1067</sup>, V. Lindholm<sup>1077,78</sup>, I. Lloro<sup>1082</sup>, D. Maino<sup>83,41,84</sup>, E. Maiorano<sup>1024</sup>, O. Mansutti<sup>1026</sup>, S. Marcin<sup>85</sup>, O. Marggraf<sup>1086</sup>, M. Martinelli<sup>1046,87</sup>, N. Martinet<sup>1081</sup>, F. Marulli<sup>1088,24,30</sup>, R. Massey<sup>1089</sup>, E. Medinaceli<sup>24</sup>, M. Melchior<sup>90</sup>, Y. Mellier<sup>91,73</sup>, M. Meneghetti<sup>24,30</sup>, E. Merlin<sup>46</sup>, G. Meylan<sup>92</sup>, M. Moresco<sup>[]</sup><sup>88, 24</sup>, P. W. Morris<sup>[]</sup><sup>93</sup>, L. Moscardini<sup>[]</sup><sup>88, 24, 30</sup>, C. Neissner<sup>[]</sup><sup>94, 43</sup>, R. C. Nichol<sup>[]</sup><sup>22</sup>, S.-M. Niemi<sup>9</sup>, J. W. Nightingale<sup>195</sup>, C. Padilla<sup>194</sup>, S. Paltani<sup>159</sup>, F. Pasian<sup>126</sup>, K. Pedersen<sup>17</sup>, W. J. Percival<sup>196,97,98</sup>, V. Pettorino<sup>9</sup>, S. Pires<sup>199</sup>, G. Polenta<sup>132</sup>, M. Poncet<sup>31</sup>, L. A. Popa<sup>100</sup>, F. Raison<sup>165</sup>, R. Rebolo<sup>101, 5, 4</sup>, A. Renzi<sup>102, 61</sup>, J. Rhodes<sup>69</sup>, G. Riccio<sup>3</sup>, E. Romelli<sup>26</sup>, M. Roncarelli<sup>24</sup>, R. Saglia<sup>63, 65</sup>, Z. Sakr<sup>10, 103, 104, 105</sup>, D. Sapone<sup>[D106</sup>, B. Sartoris<sup>[D63, 26</sup>, J. A. Schewtschenko<sup>[D49</sup>, M. Schirmer<sup>[D12</sup>, P. Schneider<sup>[D86</sup>, T. Schrabback<sup>[D107</sup>, A. Secroun<sup>[]</sup>, G. Seidel<sup>[]</sup>, S. Serrano<sup>[]</sup>, 108,44</sup>, P. Simon<sup>86</sup>, C. Sirignano<sup>[]</sup>, G. Sirri<sup>[]</sup>, J. Skottfelt<sup>[]</sup>, L. Stanco<sup>[b61</sup>, J. Steinwagner<sup>[b65</sup>, P. Tallada-Crespi<sup>[b42,43</sup>, A. N. Taylor<sup>49</sup>, I. Tereno<sup>57,110</sup>, R. Toledo-Moreo<sup>[b111</sup>, F. Torradeflot<sup>143,42</sup>, I. Tutusaus<sup>104</sup>, L. Valenziano<sup>24,112</sup>, T. Vassallo<sup>63,26</sup>, G. Verdoes Kleijn<sup>113</sup>, Y. Wang<sup>[D114</sup>, J. Weller<sup>[D63,65</sup>, A. Zacchei<sup>[D26,25</sup>, G. Zamorani<sup>[D24</sup>, F. M. Zerbi<sup>23</sup>, E. Zucca<sup>[D24</sup>, C. Burigana<sup>[]115,112</sup>, R. Cabanac<sup>[]104</sup>, L. Gabarra<sup>[]116</sup>, V. Scottez<sup>91,117</sup>, D. Scott<sup>[]18</sup>, and M. Sullivan<sup>[]19</sup>

(Affiliations can be found after the references)

March 17, 2025

#### ABSTRACT

We report on serendipitous *Euclid* observations of previously known transients, using the *Euclid* Q1 data release. By cross-matching with the Transient Name Server (TNS) we identify 164 transients that coincide with the data release. Although the *Euclid* Q1 release only includes single-epoch data, we are able to make *Euclid* photometric measurements at the location of 161 of these transients. *Euclid* obtained deep photometric measurements or upper limits of these transients in the  $I_E$ ,  $Y_E$ ,  $J_E$ , and  $H_E$  bands at various phases of the transient light-curves, including before, during, and after the observations of ground-based transient surveys. Approximately 70% of known transients reported in the six months before the *Euclid* observation date and with discovery magnitude brighter than 24 were detected in *Euclid*  $I_E$  images. Our observations include one of the earliest near-infrared detections of a Type Ia supernova (SN 2024pvw) 15 days prior to its peak brightness, and the late-phase (435.9 days post peak) observations of the enigmatic core-collapse SN 2023aew. *Euclid* deep photometry provides valuable information on the nature of these transients such as their progenitor systems and power sources, with late time observations being a uniquely powerful contribution. In addition, *Euclid* data even with only single-epoch observations available, as will be the case for much larger areas of sky in the Euclid Wide Survey.

Key words. Techniques: photometric – supernovae: general – supernovae: individual (SN 2023aew, AT 2023uqu, AT 2024pcm, SN 2024pvw, SN 2024abla) – Surveys

# 1. Introduction

The primary objective of the *Euclid* mission is to constrain cosmological parameters by observing distant galaxies (Euclid Collaboration: Mellier et al. 2024). Although Euclid does not focus on time-domain astronomy, the potential exploration of the transient sky with Euclid has long been considered (Laureijs et al. 2011; Astier et al. 2014). Euclid's high sensitivity and high resolution using the VIS and NISP instruments (Euclid Collaboration: Cropper et al. 2024; Euclid Collaboration: Jahnke et al. 2024) make it ideal for the detection of (faint) point sources, like transients. The discovery of AT 2023adqt by Euclid clearly demonstrated its capability to find transients by conducting image subtractions of its own images (Euclid Collaboration: Mellier et al. 2024). The high near-infrared (NIR) sensitivity in principle allows us to discover intrinsically red, dust enshrouded, and/or high-redshift transients that often escape detection by optical transient surveys. The recent discovery of high-redshift supernovae (SNe) from The James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) showed the potential of exploring NIR time-domain astronomy from space (DeCoursey et al. 2025).

Within the *Euclid* survey, Euclid Deep Fields (EDFs) are planned to be observed repeatedly throughout the survey period (Euclid Collaboration: Scaramella et al. 2022). Although the cadence of the EDF observations is sparse, many Type Ia and corecollapse SNe are expected to be detected, providing valuable information on their progenitor stars, rates, and intrinsic physical properties, as well as their dust formation. The *Euclid* observations of Type Ia SNe, combined with ground-based survey data, can improve their use as cosmological distance indicators, and hence improve constraints on the cosmological parameters (Bailey et al. 2023). In addition, a large number of high-redshift superluminous and pair-instability SNe are expected to be discovered in the EDFs, depending on their uncertain event rates at high redshifts (Inserra et al. 2018; Moriya et al. 2022; Tanikawa et al. 2023; Briel et al. 2024).

Most of the *Euclid* survey time is devoted to the Euclid Wide Survey (EWS) in which each survey field is only visited once (Euclid Collaboration: Scaramella et al. 2022). In such a field, it is impossible to search for transients by only using the singleepoch *Euclid* data. However, live transients can serendipitously appear in *Euclid* exposures, providing photometry in the optical ( $I_E$ ) and NIR ( $Y_E$ ,  $J_E$ , and  $H_E$ ) bands.

Depending on the timing of the *Euclid* observation relative to the transients' evolution, these *Euclid* detections can be very early (i.e., shortly after explosion in the case of explosive transients), very late, or at any phase in between. Early detections, or even upper limits close to the time of explosion, are particularly valuable, since they constrain the date of explosion, which is essential to estimate the properties of their progenitors. For example, the early photometric observations of Type II SNe have been used to infer the progenitor radii and circumstellar environments (e.g., Gall et al. 2015; González-Gaitán et al. 2015; Förster et al. 2018). The early observations of Type Ia SNe contain information on their progenitor systems, as well as their explosion mechanisms (e.g., Maoz et al. 2014; Deckers et al. 2022).

Even if the *Euclid* observation is long before the appearance of transients, the deep *Euclid* data will allow us to identify the progenitors and/or host galaxies of the transients. The latephase observations of transients can provide information on the amount of the radioactive nuclei (e.g., Leibundgut & Suntzeff 2003; Tucker et al. 2022), possible dust formation (e.g., Lucy et al. 1989; Kotak et al. 2009; Fox et al. 2011; Gall et al. 2011, 2014; Szalai et al. 2019), and circumstellar environments (e.g., Fox et al. 2013) of the transients.

The *Euclid* Q1 (Euclid Quick Release Q1 2025) data release provides data corresponding to a single visit of the EDFs, and therefore mimics what we expect to obtain in the EWS. In this paper, we report *Euclid* photometry of the transients that were identified by other transient surveys before and after the *Euclid* observations of the Q1 fields. We show that in the absence of multiple epochs for difference imaging, using PSF-fitting photometry *Euclid* can provide essential information on the nature of these transients and their environments through its deep photometry from single-epoch data. This serves to underline the prospect for transient science with *Euclid* in the EWS. Furthermore, it highlights the future potential for transient detection that can be achieved through image differencing in *Euclid* fields with multi-epoch observations, e.g., the EDFs.

Throughout this work, the photometric measurements are reported in the AB system, unless otherwise specified. We use the *Planck* 2018 flat  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology model ( $\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.31, H_0 = 67.7 \,\rm km s^{-1}$ ; Planck Collaboration et al. 2020).

# 2. Data and target selection

### 2.1. Q1 data products

The Q1 data release (Euclid Collaboration: Aussel et al. 2025) contains several different data products. For the purpose of this work we make use of the background-subtracted mosaic image files. *Euclid* imaging observations are composed of four dithered exposures taken in sequence for each filter. These have been median-stacked to create an intermediate data product (not released as part of Q1, but anticipated for future releases), which eliminates chip gaps and reduces the effects of artefacts such as cosmic ray strikes. The stacked images have then been trimmed and combined with other images to produce the mosaic image files that correspond to predefined sky tiles. This data were accessed using the ESA Datalabs service (Navarro et al. 2024).

Since mosaic images are composites of multiple *Euclid* observations, they are not supplied with time information. Nevertheless, using the *Euclid* science archive we were able to identify which observations made up a given part of a mosaic coterminous with a location of interest. This allowed us to add the necessary time information for each of the objects we consider in this work. In future data releases we anticipate that we would make use of the intermediate stacked image product since they are to be provided with time information.

## 2.2. Target selection

**Table 1.** Euclid Deep Field spatial information and approximate time of observation in Q1.

| EDF    | Field Centre  | Area      | Date      |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------|
|        | (RA Dec)  | $(deg^2)$ | (2024)    |
| Fornax | 03 <sup>h</sup> 31 <sup>m</sup> 43 <sup>s</sup> 6, -28° 5′ 18″.6    | 10        | 05–08 Aug |
| North  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 55 <sup>s</sup> 9, +66° 1' 3''.7    | 20        | 17–19 Jul |
| South  | 04 <sup>h</sup> 4 <sup>m</sup> 57 <sup>s</sup> .84, -48° 25' 22''.8 | 23        | 05–08 Sep |

The Q1 data release nominally covers the EDFs (see Table 1), though in reality it is composed of the mosaic sky tiles that overlap with the EDFs. As such, the data release covers an area

<sup>\*</sup> This paper is published on behalf of the Euclid Consortium

<sup>\*\*</sup> e-mail: c.j.duffy@lancaster.ac.uk



**Fig. 1.** Sky regions of the three Euclid Deep fields. *Top right*: the EDF-North. *Bottom left*: the EDF-South. *Bottom right*: the EDF-Fornax. In each panel the footprints of the EDFs have been overlaid with the positions of transients considered in this work. Colour coding indicates the discovery survey. Marker shape denotes the number of *Euclid* filters in which we were able to make a measurement (including upper limits). Those with zero *Euclid* filters had exposures available, but were not suitable for performing the photometric procedure, so no photometric measurement (or upper limit) could be derived.

slightly larger than that defined by the EDFs. As a consequence of this, some known transients, which we identified outside the EDFs, have been included in this work since data is available at their reported location (see Fig. 1 for examples of this).

We selected known transients that overlap both spatially and temporally with the Q1 data products. In order to select a manageable number of transients for consideration, we limited the selection to relatively recent or bright transients. The selection criteria were applied to each field separately because the *Euclid* observation dates of the fields differ (see Table 1). The selection criteria used were:

- any transient reported after the *Euclid* observations up to 5 December 2024<sup>1</sup>;
- any transient reported up to 1 year prior to *Euclid* observations;
- any transient reported from between 1–3 years prior to *Euclid* observations with a discovery brightness of 18 or brighter in the band quoted by the relevant discovery survey.

A total of 164 transients reported to the Transient Name Server  $(TNS)^2$  meet these criteria. Their positions are shown in Fig. 1 and overlaid on the footprints of the EDFs. In Table A.1 we show the complete catalogue of (161) transients with recov-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Date on which target list was finalised.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://sandbox.wis-tns.org

**Table 2.** Discovery survey statistics of the 161 sources followed up with *Euclid*, as listed in Table A.1.

| Number of soures |
|------------------|
| 11               |
| 22               |
| 4                |
| 5                |
| 49               |
| 49               |
| 28               |
|                  |

<sup>*a*</sup> Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System, Flewelling (2018). <sup>*b*</sup> Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System, Tonry et al. (2018a). <sup>*c*</sup> Gravitational-wave Optical Transient Observer, Dyer et al. (2024). <sup>*d*</sup>Gaia Collaboration et al. (2016), <sup>*e*</sup> JWST Advanced Deep Extragalactic Survey. Eisenstein et al. (2023); DeCoursey et al. (2025). <sup>*f*</sup> Wide Fast Survey Telescope, Wang et al. (2023). <sup>*g*</sup> Zwicky Transient Facility, Bellm et al. (2019); Graham et al. (2019).



**Fig. 2.** Images of AT 2024pnv in each of the *Euclid* bands. The transient is highlighted with a red circle centred on the reported coordinates from TNS.

ered *Euclid* photometry that are included in this figure. The discrepancy in source number arises from there being three sources within the Q1 area that it was not possible to make any form of measurement on. This is because they lie at the edge of the field, or an image, making them unsuitable for the photometric procedure detailed above in any filter, whilst still making up part of the Q1 release. Table 2 details the distribution of the discovery surveys of the transients that we follow up. In Fig. 2 we show the *Euclid* images in each of the four *Euclid* bands of one example transient, AT 2024pnv. In Appendix B we show the  $I_E$  cutouts of each of the targets.

# 3.1. PSF photometry

We measured the photometry of each selected transient by fitting the point spread-function (PSF) using the ecsnoopy package<sup>3</sup>. The PSF fitting procedure consists of several steps: modelling the PSF on the images; subtracting the background; fitting the source using the PSF model; and generating both a model image of the fitted source and a residual image (the difference between the observed data and the fitted model) to evaluate the quality of the fit. We construct the PSF model by selecting a sample of several dozen isolated, bright stars, using the background-subtracted images for both VIS and the three filters of NISP.

Accurate background subtraction is crucial to account for potential contamination to the PSF fitting process from the 'local background', i.e., host galaxy light and any small-scale residuals that may remain after background subtraction during image processing. With multi-epoch observation this can be addressed using template subtraction, particularly when the transient is located within a bright galaxy, near a galaxy nucleus, or embedded in a compact galaxy. However, since we only have a single epoch of observations, template image subtraction cannot be applied here, and we must estimate the 'local background' around the transient position. In order to do this we interpolate the counts measured outside a circular region centred on the source, typically with a radius of twice the Full Width at Half Max (FWHM; depending on the specific case). This value was then subtracted from the counts at the transient's position. The 'local background' noise was quantified as the standard deviation of the local background counts.

In the fitting process, the PSF centre is allowed to shift to account for uncertainties in the transient coordinates reported by the discovery survey. The offset between the coordinates of the source detected on *Euclid* image and the coordinates of the transient reported by TNS is then measured (see Table A.1).

We note that a source detected in the single-epoch *Euclid* image, without a template image as reference, may not correspond to the transient reported by the TNS. It could instead be a nearby contaminating stellar source, a compact H II region, or, for more distant sources, an unresolved host galaxy. On the other hand, some transients labelled by Lasair as an *orphan* (see Sect. 4.2), i.e., those lacking a detected host galaxy, are detected in the *Euclid* images near a faint extended source – likely the host galaxy – that is only visible due to the superior depth of the *Euclid* exposures. This highlights the potential of the *Euclid* images for various applications, such as identifying the hosts of apparent orphan transient sources (see Sect. 6) or pinpointing the progenitor stars of nearby transients.

With these considerations in mind, the significance of a detection using PSF-fitting can be defined in terms of several factors: the S/N of the detection; the positional uncertainties of the source; and the potential presence of a host galaxy, particularly for orphan transients. A detection is considered significant if the fitted source is clearly distinguishable from background noise (i.e., the ratio between the peak of the fitted PSF and the 'local background' noise in the residual image exceeds 2.5<sup>4</sup>. Additionally, the source's position must align within a reasonable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ecsnoopy is a Python package developed by E. Cappellaro for transient photometry through PSF-fitting and/or template subtraction. It extensively utilises astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2022) and, in particular, photutils (Bradley et al. 2024). A detailed description of the package is available at http://sngroup.oapd.inaf.it/ecsnoopy.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The detection threshold and S/N over the full stellar profile are related for a given profile width (Harris 1990). For *Euclid*, where stars

C. Duffy et. al: Euclid: Q1. Photometric studies of known transients



**Fig. 3.** *Left*: Example of the PSF fitting for AT 2024gja in  $I_E$ . The figure shows clockwise from top-left; a cutout of the original frame, the fitted PSF, the full fit including PSF and background, and the residual after subtraction of the fitted source. The green circle is centred at the fitted position, whereas the red cross marks the transient position reported in TNS. *Centre*: Example of the  $I_E$  magnitude limit estimate for AT 2024tmf. The limit is intended as the magnitude of the faintest source that can remain hidden in the background noise. The lower left panel shows the residual after subtraction of a PSF with S/N = 2.5. To highlight the significance of this limit, the two right panels show the residual for a PSF scaled by 0.5 and 2.0 of the adopted limit, corresponding to a star 0.75 mag fainter and brighter, respectively, than the adopted limit. *Right*: Example of the  $I_E$  PSF fitting for AT 2024eta. As explained in Sect. 3.1, because of the low S/N and relatively large offset from the discovery position, for this transient we conservatively report an upper limit of 23.6. Each cutout shown is 5'' × 5''.

uncertainty radius with the transient position reported by TNS (ranging from 0.1 to 0.3, depending on the accuracy of the astrometric calibration of the survey that discovered the transient), and there must be a clear positional offset between the transient and the host galaxy centre (indicating that the transient is distinct from the host in the case of orphan transients).

If the detection exceeds the S/N threshold, the positional uncertainty is small, and there is a clear offset between the transient's position and the centre of the host galaxy, the detection is considered significant. However, if the S/N is low or the offset from the TNS reported position is large, the detection is flagged as uncertain, and an upper limit on the transient's flux may be reported instead.

Examples of (i) a robust detection, (ii) an upper limit, and (iii) an inconclusive case are outlined in the following and in Fig. 3. The three examples are as follows:

- (i) The robust detection of AT 2024gja (Fig. 3, left). The detected source has brightness  $24.108 \pm 0.026$ , S/N  $\approx 30$  and a position consistent with that reported by TNS (with an offset of 0".037).
- (ii) The upper limit for AT 2024tmf (Fig. 3, centre). Because the transient is located close to the galaxy centre we could report only a relatively bright upper limit of 21.3 in the absence of a template image to remove the host galaxy background.
- (iii) The inconclusive detection of SN 2024eta (Fig. 3, right). A faint source with  $I_{\rm E} \simeq 23.6$  and S/N = 1.5 was detected 0".22 away from the position reported by TNS. Conservatively, we report this as an upper limit in the Q1 release.

The magnitudes measured are calibrated using the photometric zero point provided in the data release (see Euclid Collaboration: McCracken et al. 2025; Euclid Collaboration: Polenta et al. 2025, for details). In addition to PSF-fitting magnitudes, we also measured aperture magnitudes. The two measurements show no significant systematic offset. We prefer PSF photometry becasuse it isolates the stellar-like source and is less sensitive to

have FWHM of 2 pixels, a detection threshold of 2.5 corresponds to an S/N of approximately 3.).

deviant pixels. As previously mentioned, and as shown in Fig. 1, there are three sources contained within the Q1 release that were unsuitable for the photometric procedure outlined above because they are located near the edge of an image. Similarly, there are several sources in Table A.1 where a detection or upper limit is reported in one or more *Euclid* filters, while other filters have no data.

#### 3.2. Overview of photometric measurements

The photometric measurements for all sources, both detections and upper limits, are provided in Table A.1, with more detail in the supplementary online table. For each source, we list the magnitude with its associated error, a flag indicating whether it is a robust source detection or an upper limit, the detection threshold, and, for each source detection, the distance (in arcseconds) between the PSF fit centre and the transient position reported by TNS. Additional notes are included where necessary.

We report detections for 59 sources in  $I_{\rm E}$  and 40, 36, and 30 in  $Y_{\rm E}$ ,  $J_{\rm E}$ , and  $H_{\rm E}$ , respectively. Their magnitudes range from 17 to 26 in both VIS and NIR. In general, VIS frames are deeper and, due to the sharper PSF, allow measurement of fainter magnitudes, even in brighter and more crowded backgrounds. Indeed, the average magnitude of the detected sources is 23.0 in  $I_{\rm E}$  and 21.7 in  $J_{\rm E}$ . Because of the superior depth of the VIS images, there were no NIR-only detections (i.e., all objects that were detected in the NIR were also detected in the VIS image).

We also measured upper limits for 93 sources in  $I_{\rm E}$  and 91, 88, and 93 in  $Y_{\rm E}$   $J_{\rm E}$ , and  $H_{\rm E}$ , respectively. In favourable conditions, such as an isolated transient, the upper limiting magnitude for  $I_{\rm E}$  reaches as faint as 28. In total, we were able to obtain a measurement or an upper limit for 163 transients reported by TNS. This number differs from the total reported in Sect. 2.2 due to sources found at the edges of images, where it was not possible to recover a suitable area for performing the PSF-fitting procedure. From this point onward, we will consider only those transients for which we were able to obtain photometric measurements.



Fig. 4. Distribution of the targets in parameter space of discovery magnitude and  $\Delta t$ , the discovery date minus the *Euclid* observation date (in days). Those detected in the *Euclid* VIS image are shown with filled symbols, while upper limits are shown with open symbols. Likely supernovae are shown as circles and likely AGN or variable stars are shown with star symbols. The colour of the symbols represents the discovery survey, as in Fig. 1. The group of sources with discovery magnitudes of about 26 and fainter are all from the JADES survey that was undertaken with JWST (over a small region of sky, Eisenstein et al. 2023; DeCoursey et al. 2025), and are expected to be beyond the limit of *Euclid* detection.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of our input target list in terms of discovery magnitude and the date difference between the transient's discovery and the *Euclid* observation. From this, we can see that the fraction of objects detected in  $I_{\rm E}$  increases as the size of the time difference decreases (i.e., when *Euclid* observes the transient closer to its discovery date). Excluding sources with a discovery magnitude fainter than 24 (this is exclusively JADES sources which we would expected to be too faint for *Euclid*) we recover 61% of transients discovered in the year before *Euclid*  $I_{\rm E}$  observation; this rises to 69% for those discovered in the 6 months before observation, and 82% for those discovered 100 d before observation.

Figure 5 shows the distribution of our input target list in terms of discovery magnitude and *Euclid* magnitude (or, if not detected, then the upper limit). The pre-discovery detections allow reliable deep early-time photometry to be provided, see Sect. 5.1 for discussion and examples. The majority of the *Euclid* observations presented here are post-discovery, post-peak observations. We see that *Euclid* is capable of recovering useful photometry on a transient several magnitudes into the decay of the light curve, see (Sect. 5.3 for further discussion and examples.)

# 4. Sources detected in other surveys

The sources that we follow up in this work have, in addition to *Euclid*, been detected in several other surveys. These surveys include optical, high-cadence (typically 2–3 days), large-scale sur-



**Fig. 5.** Distribution of the targets in discovery magnitude (in the relevant photometric band of the discovery survey) and *Euclid*  $I_E$  magnitude. Likely AGN or variable stars are shown with star symbols. Sources detected in the *Euclid* VIS image are shown with filled symbols, while upper limits are shown with open triangles for likely SNe or with open star symbols for likely AGN and variable stars. The line of equal magnitude is shown by the dashed line. The colour of the symbols represents the discovery survey as in Fig. 1.

veys that provide publicly accessible photometry. Though these surveys may not have originally discovered a source, by their 'all sky' nature will have made several observations of a source. It is by combining the *Euclid* photometry, which we present, here with data such as this that we can enhance the scientific impact of *Euclid* data for transient science. In the following sections we briefly present some of these surveys and some analysis of those targets as seen by these surveys.

#### 4.1. The ATLAS experiment

ATLAS is a network of 0.5 m telescopes (two units in Hawaii, one unit in Chile, and one unit in South Africa, with an additional one currently in preparation in Spain), which observing strategy provides identification and orbit constraints for nearearth objects (NEOs) and other potentially hazardous objects. This full-sky survey naturally provides information about many other types of transients including SNe up to limiting magnitudes of about 20.5–21 in the orange (o band from 560–820 nm) and cyan (c band from 420–650 nm) broad-band filters. Some of the TNS objects included in the list of transients discussed in Sect. 2.2 are ATLAS-discovered transients (Smith et al. 2020).

The ATLAS 'forced-photometry' server<sup>5</sup> (Tonry et al. 2018b) provides full public access to the photometric measurements over the full history of the survey which started in 2017. Forced-photometry (i.e., photometry measured at a fixed position) was obtained for all the sources listed in Table A.1 by using their coordinates as inputs in the ATLAS photometry server. All measurements with fluxes of S/N ratio lower than 3 were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://fallingstar-data.com/forcedphot/



**Fig. 6.** Optical (r and/or o-band) light-curves for the 10 transients meeting the selection criteria outlined in Sect. 2.2, which also have a spectroscopic classification. The discovery time is indicated by a star symbol, and each transient is represented by a separate colour. Thin lines connect the last ground-based photometric points with the *Euclid* VIS measurements, as listed in Table A.1. If the *Euclid* epoch was pre-discovery (the case for SN 2024pvw and SN 2024abla), we instead connect the *Euclid* measurement with the first ground-based point. The <sup>56</sup>Co decay timescale is plotted in grey for reference. The approximate limiting magnitude of *Euclid* VIS imaging for an isolated point source is shown by the black dashed line (Euclid Collaboration: Cropper et al. 2024), and a representative limiting magnitude for ground-based surveys such as ZTF and ATLAS is indicated by the dashed grey line. Detailed light-curves for these transients are given in Figs. 7, 9, and C.1.

considered as upper limits and filtered out, as suggested on the photometry server output description page, and the same S/N as the *Euclid* detections we report. The remaining measurements were visually inspected to isolate high-cadence, well-sampled light curves.

### 4.2. The ZTF experiment

ZTF is an experiment based at the Palomar telescope. It has been observing the full northern sky at a cadence of about two days to limiting magnitudes of up to 20.5-21, from beginning of 2018. The SDSS *g*- and *r*-band photometry is publicly available and provided by several brokers (e.g., Lasair, ALeRCE, Fink; Young 2023; Sánchez-Sáez et al. 2021; Möller et al. 2021). ZTF allows the community to explore a broad range of time-domain science. It can be considered as a pathfinder to the Vera C. Rubin Observatory Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST)<sup>6</sup> whose operations are planned to start during 2025.

Some of the TNS objects discussed in Sect. 2.2 are ZTFdiscovered transients. However, not all ZTF transients are reported to TNS. To get the complete list of ZTF transients potentially associated with the transients listed in Table A.1 we crossmatch the coordinates of the *Euclid*-measured transients with the full ZTF database. To do so, we use the Lasair (Williams et al. 2024) watchlist tool<sup>7</sup> with an input cross-match radius of 0".5. We find that a total of 42 TNS sources listed in Table A.1 have ZTF data available at their coordinates' location.

Embedded in Lasair is Sherlock (Young 2023) a spatially contextual classifier, which is used to determine the likely object classification. At its most basic Sherlock cross-matches ZTF transients with all major astronomical catalogues that it uses to assign the classification, e.g., AGN, SNe, and variable stars. For sources classified as some type of transient, Sherlock also assigns a likely host or failing that classifies it as an orphan.

# 4.3. Targets detected in ZTF and ATLAS surveys

To obtain an overview of the full data sample, we produced and inspected individual light curve plots for each of the 161 sources detected with *Euclid* (see Table A.1). Each light curve includes the Euclid epochs and photometry or upper limits in the Euclid filters available, the discovery photometry from TNS and, if available, ZTF and ATLAS photometry. The sample contains a total of ten classified SNe while the remaining sources are unclassified, meaning their nature is subject to light curve and contextual interpretations. Figure 6 illustrates how the Q1 Euclid 1 epoch snapshots provide late or early-time information on a pool of classified SNe over a timescale of about three years. In this figure, for transients discovered before the Euclid VIS observation, we connect the last ground-based detection with the Euclid measurement by a thin line. Whether or not the transient was recovered by Euclid is indicated. If the Euclid observation was before the first transient report, we instead connect it with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://rubinobservatory.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> https://lasair-ztf.lsst.ac.uk/watchlists/

the first reported ground-based detection. Detailed fits have also been made to the light-curves of selected transients (see Sect. 5 and Figs. 7 and 9).

A total of 42 sources are detected by ZTF, and about 60 sources are well sampled with ATLAS. From the ten classified SNe eight are detected by ZTF and ATLAS, while two are detected with ATLAS only (see plots in Figs. 7, 8, 9, and C.1). Some of these sources are discussed in more detail in Sect. 5. A few of the well-sampled light curves are likely from AGN or galactic activities (e.g., AT 2021vje, Fig. C.3), or from stellar activity (e.g., AT 2022bpn, Fig. C.3), as independently corroborated by our *Euclid* image analysis and by Sherlock. Finally, a few more sources show unclear SNe-like light curves for which the peak may not be properly sampled (e.g., AT 2024bkj, Fig. C.3).

#### 4.4. Poorly sampled targets

The remaining sources are generally poorly sampled and their nature remains unclear in the absence of public spectroscopic classification information. Plots of the 43 faint, JADESdiscovered sources show the JWST discovery detections and the *Euclid* upper limits, and no high-cadence ATLAS sampling (e.g., AT 2023adsv and AT 2023adts, Fig. C.4). The sample of sources detected with the Wide Field Survey Telescope (WFST) also show poorly-sampled light curves, but *Euclid* provides deeper imaging than WFST and complementary photometry data points for some of them (e.g., AT 2024tgn, Fig. C.4). Some statistics on the number of sources detected in the JADES, WFST, and the other surveys (see Fig. 1) are provided in Table 2.

## 5. Notable transients

## 5.1. Transients observed before ground-based transient survey discovery

In this section, we show some examples of transients observed by *Euclid* before the ground-based transient survey discovery date.

## 5.1.1. SN 2024pvw

This transient is a known Type Ia SN (classification reported in TNS by Syncatto & Team 2024) that was detected by *Euclid* before ground-based transient surveys. Figure 7 (upper panel) shows the *Euclid* and ground-based photometry on the light curve. The *Euclid* detections were made in all four *Euclid* bands. Such early NIR detections are very rare. These detections are 2–3 days earlier than for any Type Ia SNe in the CSP-II Hubble flow cosmological sample (Phillips et al. 2019), and about the same phase as for the earliest NIR observations for the iconic nearby Type Ia SN 2011fe (Hsiao et al. 2013).

Understanding the nature of Type Ia SNe is arguably among the most pressing questions in SN science, especially when considering their use as precision distance estimators in cosmology. While it is considered to be well established that these are explosions of white dwarfs (WDs), it remains unclear whether the binary companion triggering the explosion is another WD, or a non-degenerate star. Another issue of debate is whether the explosion happens at the Chandrasekhar mass or if the main Type Ia SN population used in cosmology arises from sub-Chandrasekhar mass explosions. Early multi-wavelength observations, from hours to days after the explosion, are key for addressing these pressing questions (e.g., Maoz et al. 2014). For

example, early flux excess in Type Ia SNe is observed in 20-30% of Type Ia SNe (Deckers et al. 2022; Magee et al. 2022) and they are linked to the existence of a red-giant companion (e.g., Kasen 2010), a dense circumstellar medium (CSM, e.g., Piro & Morozova 2016; Moriya et al. 2023), or a helium detonation (e.g., Jiang et al. 2017; Ni et al. 2023). Hence, cases like SN 2024pvw, with unusually wide wavelength range early coverage including NIR, can be of substantial interest. Specifically, deviation from  $t^2$  in the early light curve rise, even in the redder bands observed by Euclid, could come from heated material of the white dwarf, or a companion star. Other instances where a signal could be expected is for a pre-existing accretion disc. Structures in the light curves during the first days after explosion could also originate from radioactive material in the outer parts of the progenitor white dwarf, as discussed by Goobar et al. (2015) in connection with early observations of SN 2014J (Goobar et al. 2014).

In order to quantify the significance of the early *Euclid* photometry of SN 2024pvw, we fit, using SNCosmo (Barbary et al. 2024), the ZTF and ATLAS light curves by using the SALT3-NIR Type Ia SN light curve evolution model (Pierel et al. 2022). The best fit model is presented in Fig. 7. SALT3-NIR covers the wavelength range of 2,500 - 20,000 Å and can provide the expected *Euclid* photometry at early times. The early flux measurements by *Euclid* slightly differ from the expected NIR light curves from the best fit model. However, given that the *Euclid* NIR flux measurements are performed without conducting image subtractions and hence the measured flux may be contaminated by the host galaxy light, we conclude that no signatures of possible flux anomalies in the early *Euclid* light curve were identified in SN 2024pvw.

# 5.1.2. SN 2024abla

This transient is a known Type Ia SN at a redshift z = 0.06 (see classification reported in TNS by Gutiérrez et al. 2024) that was observed by Euclid well before ground-based transient surveys detected the object (see Fig. 7 lower panel). Deep upper limits in the Euclid bands were obtained about 70 days before the ATLAS discovery. Although we report only upper limits, this demonstrates Euclid's capacity to make very early time observations. Whilst no precursor would be expected to be observed on this timescale for this system, some precursor activity before core-collapse SNe is often reported (e.g., Pastorello et al. 2007; Ofek et al. 2013). The precursors have been observed at a range of timescales, and in many different kinds of core-collapse SNe including typical Type II SNe (e.g., Jacobson-Galán et al. 2022), interacting SNe (e.g., Strotjohann et al. 2021; Brennan et al. 2024), and superluminous SNe (e.g., Nicholl & Smartt 2016; Angus et al. 2019). The origins of such precursor activities are not well understood, but are likely linked to enhanced mass loss prior to explosion. During the *Euclid* mission, it is possible that we will have similar early time observations for core-collapse SNe that will allow us to constrain the nature of such precursor activity.

## 5.2. Transients observed close to the peak of the light curve

# 5.2.1. AT 2024pcm

AT 2024pcm was identified as a SN candidate by ZTF (Sollerman et al. 2024). Probably due to its relatively faint discovery magnitude ( $g_{ZTF} = 20.6$ ), no spectroscopic classification is available. The light curve is consistent with both



**Fig. 7.** *Top*: Light curve of SN 2024pvw. The *Euclid* observations occurred before the ground-based survey discovery, and the resulting *Euclid* detections provide the earliest photometry on the light curve. The curves show a Type Ia SN light curve template in corresponding bands. *Bottom*: Light curve of SN 2024abla. The *Euclid* observations occurred almost three months before the peak.

type Ia and core-collapse SNe (Fig. 8). We consider each in turn. If the host galaxy is the irregular spiral, GALEXASC J180413.45+672932.1 (z = 0.0583), then the peak g-band magnitude is -18.1 i.e., underluminous by about 1 mag Cf. normal SNe Ia. The lack of a secondary maximum in the ATLAS o-band would be consistent with this. Although the ATLAS o-band lightcurve is sparsely sampled, it encompasses redder wavelengths (than  $ZTF_r$ ) where the secondary maximum is more prominent. The single *Euclid* observation in the  $J_{\rm E}$  band, taken a week after the ZTF g-band peak, is  $M_{\rm J} = -16.8$ . This implies a decline of 1.5 mag in 7 days, assuming a peak  $J_{\rm E}$ -band magnitude for a normal SNe Ia of -18.27 (Wood-Vasey et al. 2008). Such a rapid decline would be unexpected. Taken together, the optical and near-IR photometry suggest that the rise to optical peak is too fast (about 9 days taking the last ZTF nondetection into account), and the decline from presumed near-IR peak is also too rapid for AT 2024pcm to be a normal type Ia SN. No correction for extinction has been applied, but we note that SN 2024pcm occurred in a relatively isolated region. There appears to be an extended source closer to the location of the SN than GALEXASC J180413.45+672932.1, but further informa-



**Fig. 8.** Light curve of AT 2024pcm. The *Euclid* observations occurred during the ground-based survey observations, and the resulting *Euclid* detections provide NIR photometry 7 days after the *g*-band light curve peak. Note it was only possible to make a measurement in  $J_E$ , see discussion in Sect. 3.1.

tion on this other source is unfortunately not available. While the rapid rise to *g*-band peak, the shape of the *r*-band light curve, and the absolute peak magnitudes (as above) could all be consistent with certain core-collapse SNe, its large (around 13 kpc) projected offset from GALEXASC J180413.45+672932.1 is note-worthy, but not unprecedented. Further imaging data may shed more light on this SN.

## 5.3. Transients observed long after ground based transient survey discovery

Here we show examples of transients observed by *Euclid* long after the ground-based transient survey discovery date. Because of its sensitivity, *Euclid* can detect these transients even after their light curves have faded below the detection limit of ground-based transient surveys. The two selected examples, shown in Fig. 9, were both detected by *Euclid* hundreds of days after the peak of their respective light curves. In both cases, detections were made in the  $I_E$ ,  $Y_E$ , and  $H_E$  bands, while we obtained upper limits in the  $J_E$  band.

#### 5.3.1. SN 2023ugu

SN 2023uqu is a Type Ia SN at z = 0.04 (Balcon 2023). The *Euclid* photometry of this SN is presented in the top panel of Fig. 9. NIR light curves of Type Ia SNe are often observed to have a plateau phase at around 150–500 days (Graur et al. 2020; Deckers et al. 2023). The *Euclid* NIR photometry is consistent with the brightness of a faint NIR plateau (Fig. 9), although we cannot discuss the existence of the NIR plateau with only a single-epoch observation. Understanding the diversity in the late-phase NIR light curves in Type Ia SNe would be essential in understanding the radiative transfer processes in Type Ia SN ejecta (e.g., Axelrod 1980; Fransson et al. 1996).

## 5.3.2. SN 2023aew

SN 2023aew was observed by *Euclid* about 300 days after the final detection by ZTF (Fig. 9, bottom). SN 2023aew is a pe-



SN 2023aew / ZTF23aaawbsc : SN IIb  $\rightarrow$  SN Ibc at z=0.025



**Fig. 9.** Light curves of SN 2023uqu (top) and SN 2023aew (bottom). These two SNe were observed and detected by *Euclid* long after they faded beyond the limit of the ground-based surveys. The dashed line in the top panel is the faint-branch *H*-band light curve template of Type Ia SNe from Graur et al. (2020).

culiar SN, which changed its spectroscopic type from Type IIb to Type Ibc (Sharma et al. 2024; Kangas et al. 2024). The light curve shows multiple peaks. The initial rise was serendipitously observed by Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2015) and the second peak was observed by groundbased surveys. The Euclid detection corresponds to 436 days after the second peak. The nature of SN 2023aew is not clear. In particular, the luminosity source causing the multiple peaks has been debated. The final detection in the ZTF r band is  $20.3 \pm 0.2$  at MJD = 60211.2 days and the *Euclid* detection in the  $I_{\rm E}$  band is 26.21  $\pm$  0.06 at MJD = 60509.2 days. Applying the redshift of 0.025, the decline rate in the optical band during this time is 0.02 mag day<sup>-1</sup>. Although this decline rate is faster than that expected from the nuclear decay of  ${}^{56}$ Co  $\rightarrow$  $^{56}$ Fe (0.0098 mag day<sup>-1</sup>), which is a major late-phase luminosity source of Type Ibc SNe, the decline rates in the R and Ibands in Type Ibc SNe can be faster in the late phases (e.g.,  $0.017 \text{ mag day}^{-1}$  in the Type Ibc sample in Hunter et al. 2009) because of the  $\gamma$ -ray leakage. Thus, the measured decline rate is consistent with the canonical nuclear decay energy input.

Besides the nuclear decay energy, magnetar spin-down, black-hole accretion, and CSM interaction are suggested to be

possible power sources forming the second peak (Sharma et al. 2024; Kangas et al. 2024). The light curve decay rate is expected to become slow in late phases in the cases of magnetar spindown (e.g., Nicholl et al. 2018) and black-hole accretion (e.g., Dexter & Kasen 2013; Moriya et al. 2018). However, 435.9 days after the peak is still not late enough to observe the predicted decrease in the light curve decay rate (e.g., Nicholl et al. 2018). In the case of the CSM interaction explanation, the observed light curve decay rate may be consistent, depending on the CSM configuration. It is also possible that the dust formation affects the decline rate in the optical, but we do not observe a significant flux excess in the *Euclid* NIR bands. Thus, these power sources could not be excluded by the *Euclid* observation presented here. A later phase observation of SN 2023aew by JWST at around 1000 days, combined with this Euclid observation, would be able to provide a strong constraint on its mysterious power source.

#### Transients previously classified as hostless

The depth of *Euclid* photometry, even in single epoch images such as those released in Q1, enables the detection of faint host galaxies. In some cases, *Euclid* can detect the host of transients that were previously classed as 'hostless' or 'orphans'.

In our input target list, 19 objects were classified as orphans by the Sherlock system of Lasair. Eight of these were in fact close to a large galaxy that had presumably been missed by the Sherlock system. The rest showed no host galaxy in the SDSS or in the Legacy survey DR10 images, but showed a faint detection close to the transient position in the *Euclid* VIS images. Based on the detection within the *Euclid* images, the MER catalogue (*Euclid* source catalogue containing photometric and morphological information, see Euclid Collaboration: Romelli et al. 2025, for a full description) provides a point-like probability for all sources that are detected in VIS. Furthermore, during the PHZ classification (see Euclid Collaboration: Tucci et al. 2025, for the full details), *Euclid* also provides galaxy, QSO, and star probabilities for the source. The probabilities for the other 11 sources are shown in Table 3.

Based on this, the first five sources (AT 2023mrk, AT 2024thv, AT 2024tjh, AT 2024tkt, and AT 2024zjg) have high probabilities of being galaxies. In fact, the clearest case for the detection of an underlaying host galaxy is for the late-time observation of AT 2023mrk, which showed clear photometric evolution akin to a SN around peak (see Fig. C.2). For AT 2024tkt and AT 2024zjg, we clearly still detect the transient as a point-like source, on top of a faint extended source which is most likely to be the underlying host galaxy (see Fig. 10).

The remaining six sources (AT 2024tld, AT 2024tkg, AT 2024tsi, AT 2024tsv, AT 2024tny, and AT 2024zdi) have pointlike aspects and could either be late-time detections of the transient event in compact dwarf galaxy, variable stars, or QSOs. Each of these only have one detection reported in TNS. While for AT 2024tld and AT 2024tkg we found a magnitude difference of about 2, indicating either a true transient event or a variable source, AT 2024tsi and AT 2024tny also show changes in magnitude, and AT 2024tsv seems to have a constant magnitude.

*Euclid* VIS images showing the five new probable host galaxy detections, along with the previous Legacy survey DR10 images, are shown in Fig. 10. With detections down to depths of  $I_{\rm E} \approx 26$ , these examples highlight the power of *Euclid* to uncover potential faint underlying hosts of orphan transients. Without template images, however, we cannot separate the contributions from the host and the transient that may still be present at the time of observation. Therefore, although these sources have

photometric redshifts and physical parameter estimates from the *Euclid* SED fitting, we refrain from quoting these until we have templates for image subtraction.

In general, identification of a previously unknown host galaxy may aid in the classification of a transient, particularly if a redshift can be determined. Aside from finding potential (faint) host galaxies of previous apparently 'hostless' transients, the superior spatial resolution afforded by Euclid will allow for firmer host associations. In addition, correct identification of the host and measurement of its properties are important in the context of cosmological measurements using Type Ia SNe (e.g., Qu et al. 2024). Correlations have been found between the Hubble residual and host galaxy properties such as host galaxy mass, size and specific star formation rate (Kelly et al. 2010; Lampeit) et al. 2010; Sullivan et al. 2010), where the Hubble residual is the difference between the inferred distance modulus to the Type Ia SN, calculated from its apparent luminosity with corrections based on light curve width and colour, and the expected value at the SN redshift based on the best-fit cosmological model. Hence, in modern Type Ia SN cosmology analyses, corrections are often made to individual SN distance measurements based on the host galaxy properties. When studying the host galaxies of high redshift Type Ia SN from the SDSS-II and DES-5yr SN Ia samples, Lampeitl et al. (2010) and Qu et al. (2024) found that 7% and 6% of Type Ia SNe were 'hostless' to the limits of those surveys (approx  $r \approx 23$  and  $r \approx 25$ , respectively). Based on our measurements from single-epoch Q1 images, we see that Euclid offers the possibility to detect fainter host galaxies for cosmological samples of Type Ia SNe to  $I_{\rm E} \approx 26$  in the EWS, and significantly fainter in the EDFs as their depth is built up over the duration of the mission.

**Table 3.** Properties of the sources detected by *Euclid* associated with the eleven previously hostless transients.

| Name       | Point-like | Galaxy | QSO   | Star  |
|------------|------------|--------|-------|-------|
|            | prob.      | prob.  | prob. | prob. |
| AT 2023mrk | 0.116      | 0.839  | 0.067 | 0.175 |
| AT 2024thv | 0.030      | 0.927  | 0.056 | 0.004 |
| AT 2024tjh | 0.002      | 0.854  | 0.223 | 0.014 |
| AT 2024tkt | 0.038      | 0.738  | 0.418 | 0.108 |
| AT 2024zjg | 0.521      | 0.741  | 0.518 | 0.259 |
| AT 2024tld | 0.585      | 0.400  | 0.431 | 0.541 |
| AT 2024tkg | 0.568      | 0.409  | 0.166 | 0.569 |
| AT 2024tsi | 0.972      | 0.353  | 0.558 | 0.443 |
| AT 2024tsv | 0.867      | 0.236  | 0.840 | 0.484 |
| AT 2024tny | 0.680      | 0.211  | 0.505 | 0.564 |
| AT 2024zdi | 0.796      | 0.365  | 0.583 | 0.386 |

# 7. Conclusions

We have followed up 161 known transients that were discovered up to 3 years prior to the *Euclid* Q1 data release observations. Of these targets we were able to detect 59 sources in  $I_E$  and 40, 36, and 30 in  $Y_E$ ,  $J_E$ , and  $H_E$  respectively with a further 93 sources in  $I_E$  and 91, 88, and 93 in  $Y_E$ ,  $J_E$ , and  $H_E$ , respectively giving upper limits. The relatively high number of upper limits, as opposed to detections arises from using a single epoch of observation, since it is not possible to unambiguously measure the magnitude of transients without subtracting a template image. In cases where the transient appears to be isolated or on a smooth background, we can be more confident of the photometric measurement than



**Fig. 10.** Example 'orphans', i.e., transients that previously had no host galaxy detection. *Top row*: the field around AT 2023mrk, showing the colour Legacy Survey DR10 image (Dey et al. 2019) and the deeper *Euclid I*<sub>E</sub> image, both 40" on a side, and a zoomed-in section of the *I*<sub>E</sub> image 5" on a side, corresponding to the white box in the previous two images. The following rows show the same information for AT 2024thv, AT 2024th, AT 2024tkt, and AT2024zjg. The black box marked on the zoomed-in *I*<sub>E</sub> images is 2" across, centred on the transient position reported in TNS. In these cases, *Euclid* has detected an extended object close to the transient position (in addition to the transient itself in some cases), which is likely to be the host galaxy.

in cases where the transient is close to another bright source, e.g., the galaxy nucleus.

The detections give (when disregarding JADES sources) a recovery fraction of 61% of transients discovered in the year before *Euclid I*<sub>E</sub> observation, rising to 69% for those discovered in the 6 months before observation, and 82% for those discovered 100 days before observation.

In this work we followed up on transients within a sky area of  $63.1 \text{ deg}^2$ , while the sky area to be released in *Euclid* DR1 is anticipated to be 1900 deg<sup>2</sup>. Applying the same temporal constraints to the transient follow up we used here, it would suggest that in excess of 5500 transients will be available for follow up. However, following the start of LSST science operations (mid

2025), we anticipate that this number will increase substantially, particularly into future data releases.

*Euclid* DR1 will overcome the ambiguity between detections and upper limits that we have found in this work, because the EDFs will have multiple epochs available, allowing image subtractions to be performed. Beyond follow-up observations we further anticipate that the EDF survey data will allow us to discover new transients using *Euclid* alone which are beyond the reach of ground-based surveys. The ambiguity raised here between detections and upper limits will persist into the EWS and as such it may be necessary to employ strategies such as scene modelling using high-resolution ground imaging from surveys like LSST in order to overcome this challenge.

Future work in the field of transients with *Euclid* will include the generation of joint derived data products with the Vera C. Rubin telescope (Guy et al. 2022). These will include joint cutouts and light curves of transients using photometry in all of the filters provided by both telescopes. This will enhance SNe rates and cosmology, as well as improved study of extragalactic transient environments. Early examples of such products can be seen in Duffy et al. (in prep.)

Acknowledgements. The Euclid Consortium acknowledges the European Space Agency and a number of agencies and institutes that have supported the development of Euclid, in particular the Agenzia Spaziale Italiana, the Austrian Forschungsförderungsgesellschaft funded through BMK, the Belgian Science Policy, the Canadian Euclid Consortium, the Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, the DTU Space and the Niels Bohr Institute in Denmark, the French Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales, the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, the Netherlandse Onderzoekschool Voor Astronomie, the Norwegian Space Agency, the Research Council of Finland, the Romanian Space Agency, the State Secretariat for Education, Research, and Innovation (SERI) at the Swiss Space Office (SSO), and the United Kingdom Space Agency. A complete and detailed list is available on the Euclid web site (www.euclid-ec.org). This work has made use of the Euclid Quick Release Q1 data from the Euclid mission of the European Space Agency (ESA), 2025, https://doi.org/10.57780/esa-2853f3b. This research makes use of ESA Datalabs (datalabs.esa.int), an initiative by ESA's Data Science and Archives Division in the Science and Operations Department, Directorate of Science. L.G. acknowledges financial support from AGAUR, CSIC, MCIN and AEI 10.13039/501100011033 under projects PID2023-151307NB-I00, PIE 20215AT016, CEX2020-001058-M, ILINK23001, COOPB2304, and 2021-SGR-01270. FP and CGC acknowledge support from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (MICINN) under grant numbers PID2022-141915NB-C21. TJM is supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JP24K00682, JP24H01824, JP21H04997, JP24H00002, JP24H00027, JP24K00668) and by the Australian Research Council (ARC) through the ARC's Discovery Projects funding scheme (project DP240101786). AG acknowledges support from the Swedish Research Council (Dnr 2020-03444) and the Swedish National Space Agency (Dnr 2023-00226). KP acknowledges funding by the German Space Agency DLR under grant number 50 QE 2303. CD And IH acknowledge support from the Science and Technologies Facilities Council [grant ST/Y005511/1]; IH futher acknowledges [grants ST/V000713/1 and ST/Y001230/1] and support from the Leverhulme Trust [International Fellowship IF-2023-027]. RK acknowledges support from the Research Council of Finland (340613). AAC acknowledges support through the European Space Agency (ESA) research fellowship programme. EC acknowledges support from MIUR, PRIN 2020 (grant 2020KB33TP) "Multimessenger astronomy in the Einstein Telescope Era (METE)". V.P. acknowledges the financial contribution from PRIN-MIUR 2022 funded by the European Union - Next Generation EU, and from the Timedomes grant within the "INAF 2023 Finanziamento della Ricerca Fondamentale". L.I. acknowledges financial support from the YES Data Grant Program (PI: Izzo) Multi-wavelength and multi messenger analysis of relativistic supernovae. CG acknowledges support from a Villum Young Investigator grant (project number 25501) and a Villum Experiment grant (VIL69896) from VILLUM FONDEN. MTB acknowledges financial support from the Mini Grant Program 1.05.23.04.02 (PI: Maria Teresa Botticella) Euclid Transient Search. The Legacy Surveys consist of three individual and complementary projects: the Dark Energy Camera Legacy Survey (DECaLS; Proposal ID # 2014B-0404; PIs: David Schlegel and Arjun Dey), the Beijing-Arizona Sky Survey (BASS; NOAO Prop. ID # 2015A-0801; PIs: Zhou Xu and Xiaohui Fan), and the Mayall z-band Legacy Survey (MzLS; Prop. ID

# 2016A-0453; PI: Arjun Dey). DECaLS, BASS and MzLS together include data obtained, respectively, at the Blanco telescope, Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, NSF's NOIRLab; the Bok telescope, Steward Observatory, University of Arizona; and the Mayall telescope, Kitt Peak National Observatory, NOIRLab. Pipeline processing and analyses of the data were supported by NOIRLab and the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). The Legacy Surveys project is honored to be permitted to conduct astronomical research on Iolkam Du'ag (Kitt Peak), a mountain with particular significance to the Tohono O'odham Nation. NOIRLab is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. LBNL is managed by the Regents of the University of California under contract to the U.S. Department of Energy. This project used data obtained with the Dark Energy Camera (DECam), which was constructed by the Dark Energy Survey (DES) collaboration. Funding for the DES Projects has been provided by the U.S. Department of Energy, the U.S. National Science Foundation, the Ministry of Science and Education of Spain, the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom, the Higher Education Funding Council for England, the National Center for Supercomputing Applications at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, the Kavli Institute of Cosmological Physics at the University of Chicago, Center for Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics at the Ohio State University, the Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy at Texas A& M University, Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos, Fundacao Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo, Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos, Fundacao Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnologico and the Ministerio da Ciencia, Tecnologia e Inovacao, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Collaborating Institutions in the Dark Energy Survey. The Collaborating Institutions are Argonne National Laboratory, the University of California at Santa Cruz, the University of Cambridge, Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas, Medioambientales y Tecnologicas-Madrid, the University of Chicago, University College London, the DES-Brazil Consortium, the University of Edinburgh, the Eidgenossische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zurich, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, the Institut de Ciencies de l'Espai (IEEC/CSIC), the Institut de Fisica d'Altes Energies, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, the Ludwig Maximilians Universitat Munchen and the associated Excellence Cluster Universe, the University of Michigan, NSF's NOIRLab, the University of Nottingham, the Ohio State University, the University of Pennsylvania, the University of Portsmouth, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, the University of Sussex, and Texas A&M University. BASS is a key project of the Telescope Access Program (TAP), which has been funded by the National Astronomical Observatories of China, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (the Strategic Priority Research Program "The Emergence of Cosmological Structures" Grant # XDB09000000), and the Special Fund for Astronomy from the Ministry of Finance. The BASS is also supported by the External Cooperation Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant # 114A11KYSB20160057), and Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (Grant # 12120101003, # 11433005). The Legacy Survey team makes use of data products from the Near-Earth Object Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (NEOWISE), which is a project of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology. NEOWISE is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Legacy Surveys imaging of the DESI footprint is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH1123, by the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility under the same contract; and by the U.S. National Science Foundation, Division of Astronomical Sciences under Contract No. AST-0950945 to NOAO.

#### References

Angus, C. R., Smith, M., Sullivan, M., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 487, 2215

- Astier, P., Balland, C., Brescia, M., et al. 2014, A&A, 572, A80
- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Lim, P. L., et al. 2022, ApJ, 935, 167
- Axelrod, T. S. 1980, PhD thesis, University of California, Santa Cruz
- Bailey, A. C., Vincenzi, M., Scolnic, D., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 524, 5432
- Balcon, C. 2023, Transient Name Server Classification Report, 2023-2734, 1 Barbary, K., Bailey, S., Barentsen, G., et al. 2024, SNCosmo, https://doi.

org/10.5281/zenodo.14025775 Bellm, E. C., Kulkarni, S. R., Graham, M. J., et al. 2019, PASP, 131, 018002

- Bellm, E. C., Kulkarni, S. R., Graham, M. J., et al. 2019, PASP, 131, 01800 Bradley, L., Sipőcz, B., Robitaille, T., et al. 2024, astropy/photutils: 1.13.0
- Brennan, S. J., Sollerman, J., Irani, I., et al. 2024, A&A, 684, L18
- Briel, M. M., Metha, B., Eldridge, J. J., Moriya, T. J., & Trenti, M. 2024, MN-RAS, 533, 3907

Deckers, M., Graur, O., Maguire, K., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 521, 4414 Deckers, M., Maguire, K., Magee, M. R., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 512, 1317

DeCoursey, C., Egami, E., Pierel, J. D. R., et al. 2022, MIXRAS, 512, 151 DeCoursey, C., Egami, E., Pierel, J. D. R., et al. 2025, ApJ, 979, 250 Dexter, J. & Kasen, D. 2013, ApJ, 772, 30

- Dey, A., Schlegel, D. J., Lang, D., et al. 2019, AJ, 157, 168
- Dyer, M. J., Ackley, K., Jiménez-Ibarra, F., et al. 2024, in SPIE Conf. Series, Vol. 13094, Ground-based and Airborne Telescopes X, ed. H. K. Marshall, J. Spyromilio, & T. Usuda, 130941X
- Eisenstein, D. J., Willott, C., Alberts, S., et al. 2023, arXiv, arXiv:2306.02465
- Euclid Collaboration: Aussel, H., Tereno, I., Schirmer, M., et al. 2025, A&A, submitted
- Euclid Collaboration: Cropper, M., Al Bahlawan, A., Amiaux, J., et al. 2024, A&A, accepted, arXiv:2405.13492
- Euclid Collaboration: Jahnke, K., Gillard, W., Schirmer, M., et al. 2024, A&A, accepted, arXiv:2405.13493
- Euclid Collaboration: McCracken, H., Benson, K., et al. 2025, A&A, submitted Euclid Collaboration: Mellier, Y., Abdurro'uf, Acevedo Barroso, J., et al. 2024,
- A&A, accepted, arXiv:2405.13491 Euclid Collaboration: Polenta, G., Frailis, M., Alavi, A., et al. 2025, A&A, sub-
- mitted Euclid Collaboration: Romelli, E., Kümmel, M., Dole, H., et al. 2025, A&A,
- submitted
- Euclid Collaboration: Scaramella, R., Amiaux, J., Mellier, Y., et al. 2022, A&A, 662 A112
- Euclid Collaboration: Tucci, M., Paltani, S., Hartley, W., et al. 2025, A&A, submitted
- Euclid Quick Release Q1. 2025, https://doi.org/10.57780/esa-2853f3b Flewelling, H. 2018, in AAS Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 231, 436.01
- Förster, F., Moriya, T. J., Maureira, J. C., et al. 2018, Nature Astronomy, 2, 808
- Fox, O. D., Chevalier, R. A., Skrutskie, M. F., et al. 2011, ApJ, 741, 7
- Fox, O. D., Filippenko, A. V., Skrutskie, M. F., et al. 2013, AJ, 146, 2
- Fransson, C., Houck, J., & Kozma, C. 1996, in IAU Colloq. 145: Supernovae and Supernova Remnants, ed. T. S. Kuhn, 211
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gall, C., Hjorth, J., & Andersen, A. C. 2011, A&A Rev., 19, 43
- Gall, C., Hjorth, J., Watson, D., et al. 2014, Nature, 511, 326
- Gall, E. E. E., Polshaw, J., Kotak, R., et al. 2015, A&A, 582, A3
- González-Gaitán, S., Tominaga, N., Molina, J., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 451, 2212
- Goobar, A., Johansson, J., Amanullah, R., et al. 2014, ApJ, 784, L12
- Goobar, A., Kromer, M., Siverd, R., et al. 2015, ApJ, 799, 106
- Graham, M. J., Kulkarni, S. R., Bellm, E. C., et al. 2019, PASP, 131, 078001 Graur, O., Maguire, K., Ryan, R., et al. 2020, Nature Astronomy, 4, 188
- Gutiérrez, C., Townsend, A., Aryan, A., et al. 2024, Transient Name Server Classification Report, 2024-4573, 1
- Guy, L. P., Cuillandre, J.-C., Bachelet, E., et al. 2022, in Zenodo id. 5836022, Vol. 58, 5836022
- Harris, W. E. 1990, PASP, 102, 949
- Hsiao, E. Y., Marion, G. H., Phillips, M. M., et al. 2013, ApJ, 766, 72
- Hunter, D. J., Valenti, S., Kotak, R., et al. 2009, A&A, 508, 371
- Inserra, C., Nichol, R. C., Scovacricchi, D., et al. 2018, A&A, 609, A83
- Jacobson-Galán, W. V., Dessart, L., Jones, D. O., et al. 2022, ApJ, 924, 15
- Jiang, J.-A., Doi, M., Maeda, K., et al. 2017, Nature, 550, 80
- Kangas, T., Kuncarayakti, H., Nagao, T., et al. 2024, A&A, 689, A182
- Kasen, D. 2010, ApJ, 708, 1025
- Kelly, P. L., Hicken, M., Burke, D. L., Mandel, K. S., & Kirshner, R. P. 2010, ApJ, 715, 743
- Kotak, R., Meikle, W. P. S., Farrah, D., et al. 2009, ApJ, 704, 306
- Lampeitl, H., Smith, M., Nichol, R. C., et al. 2010, ApJ, 722, 566
- Laureijs, R., Amiaux, J., Arduini, S., et al. 2011, ESA/SRE(2011)12, arXiv:1110.3193
- Leibundgut, B. & Suntzeff, N. B. 2003, in Supernovae and Gamma-Ray Bursters, ed. K. Weiler, Vol. 598 (Springer), 77-90
- Lucy, L. B., Danziger, I. J., Gouiffes, C., & Bouchet, P. 1989, in IAU Colloq. 120: Structure and Dynamics of the Interstellar Medium, ed. G. Tenorio-Tagle, M. Moles, & J. Melnick, Vol. 350, 164
- Magee, M. R., Cuddy, C., Maguire, K., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 513, 3035
- Maoz, D., Mannucci, F., & Nelemans, G. 2014, ARA&A, 52, 107
- Möller, A., Peloton, J., Ishida, E. E. O., et al. 2021, MNRAS, 501, 3272
- Moriya, T. J., Inserra, C., Tanaka, M., et al. 2022, A&A, 666, A157
- Moriya, T. J., Mazzali, P. A., Ashall, C., & Pian, E. 2023, MNRAS, 522, 6035
- Moriya, T. J., Nicholl, M., & Guillochon, J. 2018, ApJ, 867, 113
- Navarro, V., del Rio, S., Diego, M. A., et al. 2024, ESA Datalabs: Digital Innovation in Space Science, ed. A. Cortesi (Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore), 1 - 13
- Ni, Y. Q., Moon, D.-S., Drout, M. R., et al. 2023, ApJ, 946, 7
- Nicholl, M., Blanchard, P. K., Berger, E., et al. 2018, ApJ, 866, L24
- Nicholl, M. & Smartt, S. J. 2016, MNRAS, 457, L79
- Ofek, E. O., Sullivan, M., Cenko, S. B., et al. 2013, Nature, 494, 65
- Pastorello, A., Smartt, S. J., Mattila, S., et al. 2007, Nature, 447, 829
- Phillips, M. M., Contreras, C., Hsiao, E. Y., et al. 2019, PASP, 131, 014001
- Pierel, J. D. R., Jones, D. O., Kenworthy, W. D., et al. 2022, ApJ, 939, 11
- Piro, A. L. & Morozova, V. S. 2016, ApJ, 826, 96
- Planck Collaboration, Aghanim, N., Akrami, Y., et al. 2020, A&A, 641, A1

Qu, H., Sako, M., Vincenzi, M., et al. 2024, ApJ, 964, 134

- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2015, Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems, 1, 014003
- Sánchez-Sáez, P., Reyes, I., Valenzuela, C., et al. 2021, AJ, 161, 141
- Sharma, Y., Sollerman, J., Kulkarni, S. R., et al. 2024, ApJ, 966, 199
- Smith, K. W., Smartt, S. J., Young, D. R., et al. 2020, PASP, 132, 085002
- Sollerman, J., Fremling, C., Perley, D., & Laz, T. D. 2024, Transient Name Server Discovery Report, 2024-2347, 1
- Strotjohann, N. L., Ofek, E. O., Gal-Yam, A., et al. 2021, ApJ, 907, 99
- Sullivan, M., Conley, A., Howell, D. A., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 782
- Syncatto, A. & Team, O. B. O. T. S. 2024, Transient Name Server Classification Report, 2024-2607, 1
- Szalai, T., Zsíros, S., Fox, O. D., Pejcha, O., & Müller, T. 2019, ApJS, 241, 38 Tanikawa, A., Moriya, T. J., Tominaga, N., & Yoshida, N. 2023, MNRAS, 519, L32
- Tonry, J. L., Denneau, L., Flewelling, H., et al. 2018a, ApJ, 867, 105
- Tonry, J. L., Denneau, L., Heinze, A. N., et al. 2018b, PASP, 130, 064505
- Tucker, M. A., Shappee, B. J., Kochanek, C. S., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 4119 Wang, T., Liu, G., Cai, Z., et al. 2023, Science China Physics, Mechanics, and
- Astronomy, 66, 109512 Williams, R. D., Francis, G. P., Lawrence, A., et al. 2024, RAS Techniques and Instruments, 3, 362
- Wood-Vasey, W. M., Friedman, A. S., Bloom, J. S., et al. 2008, ApJ, 689, 377

Young, D. R. 2023, Sherlock. Contextual classification of astronomical transient

- sources, https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.8038057
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YB, UK
- <sup>2</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Via dell'Osservatorio 5, 35122 Padova, Italy
- INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, Via Moiariello 16, 80131 Napoli, Italy
- 4 Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Vía Láctea, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- 5 Universidad de La Laguna, Departamento de Astrofísica, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, National Institutes of Natural Sciences, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- Graduate Institute for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia
- 9 European Space Agency/ESTEC, Keplerlaan 1, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands
- 10 Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University, PO Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- 11 Dipartimento di Fisica "E. Pancini", Universita degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Via Cinthia 6, 80126, Napoli, Italy
- <sup>12</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- Oskar Klein Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics, Department of Physics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, SE-106 91, Sweden
- 14 Institut de Ciencies de l'Espai (IEEC-CSIC), Campus UAB, Carrer de Can Magrans, s/n Cerdanyola del Vallés, 08193 Barcelona, Spain
- 15 Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), Edifici RDIT, Campus UPC, 08860 Castelldefels, Barcelona, Spain
- Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vesilinnantie 5, 20014 University of Turku, Finland
- DARK, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Jagtvej 155, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark
- <sup>18</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, c/ Via Lactea s/n, La Laguna 38200, Spain. Departamento de Astrofísica de la Universidad de La Laguna, Avda. Francisco Sanchez, La Laguna, 38200, Spain
- Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France
- 20 Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut d'astrophysique spatiale, 91405, Orsay, France
- 21 ESAC/ESA, Camino Bajo del Castillo, s/n., Urb. Villafranca del Castillo, 28692 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain
- School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, UK

Article number, page 13 of 27

- <sup>23</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via Brera 28, 20122 Milano, Italy
- <sup>24</sup> INAF-Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio di Bologna, Via Piero Gobetti 93/3, 40129 Bologna, Italy
- <sup>25</sup> IFPU, Institute for Fundamental Physics of the Universe, via Beirut 2, 34151 Trieste, Italy
- <sup>26</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, Via G. B. Tiepolo 11, 34143 Trieste, Italy
- <sup>27</sup> INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Via Valerio 2, 34127 Trieste TS, Italy
- <sup>28</sup> SISSA, International School for Advanced Studies, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste TS, Italy
   <sup>29</sup> Directimento di Fisico e Astronomio. Università di Bologno. Via
- <sup>29</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Via Gobetti 93/2, 40129 Bologna, Italy
- <sup>30</sup> INFN-Sezione di Bologna, Viale Berti Pichat 6/2, 40127 Bologna, Italy
- <sup>31</sup> Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales Centre spatial de Toulouse, 18 avenue Edouard Belin, 31401 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
- <sup>32</sup> Space Science Data Center, Italian Space Agency, via del Politecnico snc, 00133 Roma, Italy
- <sup>33</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146, Genova, Italy
- <sup>34</sup> INFN-Sezione di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, 16146, Genova, Italy
- <sup>35</sup> Department of Physics "E. Pancini", University Federico II, Via Cinthia 6, 80126, Napoli, Italy
- <sup>36</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade do Porto, CAUP, Rua das Estrelas, PT4150-762 Porto, Portugal
- <sup>37</sup> Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo de Alegre, 4150-007 Porto, Portugal
- <sup>38</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy
- <sup>39</sup> INFN-Sezione di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy
- <sup>40</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Via Osservatorio 20, 10025 Pino Torinese (TO), Italy
- <sup>41</sup> INAF-IASF Milano, Via Alfonso Corti 12, 20133 Milano, Italy
- <sup>42</sup> Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Avenida Complutense 40, 28040 Madrid, Spain
- <sup>43</sup> Port d'Informació Científica, Campus UAB, C. Albareda s/n, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
- <sup>44</sup> Institute of Space Sciences (ICE, CSIC), Campus UAB, Carrer de Can Magrans, s/n, 08193 Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>45</sup> Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology (TTK), RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany
- <sup>46</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Via Frascati 33, 00078 Monteporzio Catone, Italy
- <sup>47</sup> INFN section of Naples, Via Cinthia 6, 80126, Napoli, Italy
- <sup>48</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Augusto Righi" Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, Viale Berti Pichat 6/2, 40127 Bologna, Italy
- <sup>49</sup> Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK
- <sup>50</sup> Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK
- <sup>51</sup> European Space Agency/ESRIN, Largo Galileo Galilei 1, 00044 Frascati, Roma, Italy
- <sup>52</sup> Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IP2I Lyon, UMR 5822, Villeurbanne, F-69100, France
- <sup>53</sup> Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICCUB), Universitat de Barcelona (IEEC-UB), Martí i Franquès 1, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>54</sup> Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Passeig de Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>55</sup> UCB Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IUF, IP2I Lyon, 4 rue Enrico Fermi, 69622 Villeurbanne, France
- <sup>56</sup> Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK
- <sup>57</sup> Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C8, Campo Grande, PT1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

- <sup>58</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal
- <sup>59</sup> Department of Astronomy, University of Geneva, ch. d'Ecogia 16, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland
- <sup>60</sup> INAF-Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologia Spaziali, via del Fosso del Cavaliere, 100, 00100 Roma, Italy
- <sup>61</sup> INFN-Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy
- <sup>62</sup> School of Physics, HH Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol, BS8 1TL, UK
- <sup>63</sup> Universitäts-Sternwarte München, Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Scheinerstrasse 1, 81679 München, Germany
- <sup>64</sup> FRACTAL S.L.N.E., calle Tulipán 2, Portal 13 1A, 28231, Las Rozas de Madrid, Spain
- <sup>65</sup> Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Giessenbachstr. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany
- <sup>66</sup> NRC Herzberg, 5071 West Saanich Rd, Victoria, BC V9E 2E7, Canada
- <sup>67</sup> Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1029 Blindern, 0315 Oslo, Norway
- <sup>68</sup> Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands
- <sup>69</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA, 91109, USA
- <sup>70</sup> Felix Hormuth Engineering, Goethestr. 17, 69181 Leimen, Germany
- <sup>71</sup> Technical University of Denmark, Elektrovej 327, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
- <sup>72</sup> Cosmic Dawn Center (DAWN), Denmark
- <sup>73</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, UMR 7095, CNRS, and Sorbonne Université, 98 bis boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France
- <sup>74</sup> NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- <sup>75</sup> Department of Physics and Helsinki Institute of Physics, Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>76</sup> Université de Genève, Département de Physique Théorique and Centre for Astroparticle Physics, 24 quai Ernest-Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland
- <sup>77</sup> Department of Physics, P.O. Box 64, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>78</sup> Helsinki Institute of Physics, Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>79</sup> Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3/CNRS, 21 avenue Pierre de Coubertin 69627 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
- <sup>80</sup> Laboratoire d'etude de l'Univers et des phenomenes eXtremes, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, 92190 Meudon, France
- <sup>81</sup> Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, CNES, LAM, Marseille, France
- <sup>82</sup> NOVA optical infrared instrumentation group at ASTRON, Oude Hoogeveensedijk 4, 7991PD, Dwingeloo, The Netherlands
- <sup>83</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica "Aldo Pontremoli", Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy
- <sup>84</sup> INFN-Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy
- <sup>85</sup> University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Northwestern Switzerland, School of Computer Science, 5210 Windisch, Switzerland
- <sup>86</sup> Universität Bonn, Argelander-Institut für Astronomie, Auf dem Hügel 71, 53121 Bonn, Germany
- <sup>87</sup> INFN-Sezione di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro, 2 c/o Dipartimento di Fisica, Edificio G. Marconi, 00185 Roma, Italy
- <sup>88</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Augusto Righi" Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, via Piero Gobetti 93/2, 40129 Bologna, Italy
- <sup>89</sup> Department of Physics, Institute for Computational Cosmology, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK
- <sup>90</sup> University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Northwestern Switzerland, School of Engineering, 5210 Windisch, Switzerland
- <sup>91</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98bis Boulevard Arago, 75014, Paris, France

- <sup>92</sup> Institute of Physics, Laboratory of Astrophysics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Observatoire de Sauverny, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland
- <sup>93</sup> California Institute of Technology, 1200 E California Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- <sup>94</sup> Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Campus UAB, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
- <sup>95</sup> School of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Newcastle University, Herschel Building, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK
- <sup>96</sup> Waterloo Centre for Astrophysics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada
- <sup>97</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada
- <sup>98</sup> Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada
- <sup>99</sup> Université Paris-Saclay, Université Paris Cité, CEA, CNRS, AIM, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- <sup>100</sup> Institute of Space Science, Str. Atomistilor, nr. 409 Măgurele, Ilfov, 077125, Romania
- <sup>101</sup> Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Calle Serrano 117, 28006 Madrid, Spain
- <sup>102</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "G. Galilei", Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy
- <sup>103</sup> Institut für Theoretische Physik, University of Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 16, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
- <sup>104</sup> Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie (IRAP), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, CNES, 14 Av. Edouard Belin, 31400 Toulouse, France
- <sup>105</sup> Université St Joseph; Faculty of Sciences, Beirut, Lebanon
- <sup>106</sup> Departamento de Física, FCFM, Universidad de Chile, Blanco Encalada 2008, Santiago, Chile
- <sup>107</sup> Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Technikerstr. 25/8, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- <sup>108</sup> Satlantis, University Science Park, Sede Bld 48940, Leioa-Bilbao, Spain
- <sup>109</sup> Centre for Electronic Imaging, Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK
- <sup>110</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-018 Lisboa, Portugal
- <sup>111</sup> Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena, Departamento de Electrónica y Tecnología de Computadoras, Plaza del Hospital 1, 30202 Cartagena, Spain
- <sup>112</sup> INFN-Bologna, Via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy
- <sup>113</sup> Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, PO Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands
- <sup>114</sup> Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- <sup>115</sup> INAF, Istituto di Radioastronomia, Via Piero Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy
- <sup>116</sup> Department of Physics, Oxford University, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK
- <sup>117</sup> ICL, Junia, Université Catholique de Lille, LITL, 59000 Lille, France
- <sup>118</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada
- <sup>119</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

Appendix A: Complete catalogue of sources

In this appendix we supply the complete catalogue of sources which we were able from TNS and the measurements which we were able to make. An enhanced version of this table is available in the supplementary material supplied with this work. **Table A.1.** Complete catalogue of sources with Q1 coverage.  $\Delta$ MJD is discovery date minus the *Euclid* observation date, where negative values denote where *Euclid* observed after the detection. Measurements reported with errors are detections, those with '>' being upper limits. 'Offset' is the separation between the TNS reported position and the location of the source in  $I_{\rm E}$  ( $Y_{\rm E}$ ,  $I_{\rm E}$ , and  $H_{\rm E}$ ) available in supplementary table). In notes the following convention is adopted; 1–AGN; 2–variable star; 3–nuclear transient; 4–bright host; 5–bad pixels; 6–point like; 7–nearby source.

| Notes            | 4   | 4  | -   |   | 1   | б   |  | 9   | ٢   | 7   |   | 4   |   | 4   |  | 4   |   | 4  |  |  |   |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |
|------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Offset<br>('')   | 0.00  | 0.09   | 0.05  | 0.00  | 0.03  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.02  | 0.26  | 0.04  | 0.00  | 0.00  | Ι   | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00  | I   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.06  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |
| $H_{ m E}$       | 1   | > 21.87  | $18.482 \pm 0.007$  | > 19.78   | $17.676 \pm 0.005$  | > 18.0  | > 23.17  | > 24.66                                       | > 23.667  | $17.718 \pm 0.004$                              | > 21.07   | > 17.13   | $24.169 \pm 0.03$   | > 17.71   | > 23.21  | > 20.46   | > 21.79   | > 20.06  | > 18.55  | > 21.53  | $22.982 \pm 0.021$                                    | > 25.64   |   | > 25.62  |   |   |  |   |   | 1   | > 25.65  |   | > 25.62   | > 24.35   |   |
| $J_{ m E}$       |   | > 22.13  | $18.716 \pm 0.007$  | > 20.07   | $17.96 \pm 0.007$   | > 18.42   | > 23.44  | $24.247 \pm 0.011$                            | > 23.943  | $18.073 \pm 0.02$                               | > 21.23   | > 17.35   | $24.009 \pm 0.024$  | > 17.88   | > 23.88  | > 20.64   | > 20.82   | > 20.23  | > 19.03  | > 21.78  | $23.089 \pm 0.026$                                    | > 25.85   |   | > 25.77  |   |   |  |   |   |   | > 25.79  |   | > 25.86   | > 25.06   |   |
| $Y_{ m E}$       | > 17.10   | > 22.39  | $19.223 \pm 0.007$  | > 20.64   | $18.369 \pm 0.006$  | > 18.97   | > 24.52  | $24.408 \pm 0.027$                            | > 24.082  | $18.049 \pm 0.005$                              | > 21.80   | > 17.783  |   | > 18.42   | > 23.84  | > 21.30   | 1   | > 20.797   | > 19.296   | > 22.329   | $23.447 \pm 0.028$                                    | > 25.90   | 1   | > 26.18  |   |   |  |   |   |   | > 26.10  | -   | > 24.05   | > 25.51   | 1   |
| $I_{ m E}$       | > 19.15   | > 25.90  | $19.7 \pm 0.009$  | > 24.35   | $18.86 \pm 0.01$  | > 20.91   | > 26.58  | $24.347 \pm 0.017$                            | $25.925 \pm 0.05$   | $17.998 \pm 0.008$                              | > 24.46   | > 20.51   | -   | > 20.29   | > 26.71  | > 24.50   | 1   | > 23.45  | > 22.97  | > 25.79  | $23.844 \pm 0.017$                                    | > 27.7  | > 27.29   | > 27.56  | > 27.67   | > 28.13   | > 26.74  | > 27.97   | > 28.08   | > 26.39   | > 27.27  | > 27.94   | > 27.92   | > 26.04   | > 27.81   |
| AMJD             | -1023.00  | -1007.61   | -955.00   | -1086.60  | -1081.59  | -1056.01  | -1030.08   | -908.27                                       | -684.19   | -895.50   | -888.15   | -887.73   | -886.66   | -878.29   | -774.20  | -702.38   | -690.76   | -659.31  | -632.26  | -266.73  | -243.19   | -313.56   | -313.46   | -313.54  | -313.54   | -313.41   | -313.56  | -313.46   | -313.54   | -313.46   | -313.56  | -313.54   | -313.46   | -313.56   | -313.54   |
| Discovery<br>Mag | 17.44   | 19.94  | 19.72   | 19.00   | 19.35   | 19.97   | 18.31  | 19.44   | 19.89   | 17.62   | 19.77   | 19.06   | 19.56   | 18.49   | 19.39  | 19.23   | 19.75   | 19.64  | 19.75  | 20.04  | 20.65   | 29.35   | 29.88   | 30.41  | 28.04   | 30.11   | 30.15  | 28.98   | 29.52   | 28.54   | 29.60  | 29.69   | 28.00   | 27.97   | 29.07   |
| Coordinates      | 17 <sup>h</sup> 45 <sup>m</sup> 39 <sup>s</sup> 878, 64° 46′ 43′′51 | $17^{\rm h} 44^{\rm m} 56^{\rm s} 722, 67^{\circ} 18' 10'' 03$ | $17^{\rm h}  41^{\rm m}  15^{\rm s}_{\rm s} 31,  66^{\circ}  47'  17'' 7$ | 17 <sup>h</sup> 51 <sup>m</sup> 33 <sup>s</sup> 37, 65° 14′ 54′′1 | 18 <sup>h</sup> 2 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 326, 66° 5′ 42′′09 | 17 <sup>h</sup> 48 <sup>m</sup> 8 <sup>s</sup> 182, 65° 10′ 46′′′73 | 18 <sup>h</sup> 1 <sup>m</sup> 21 <sup>s</sup> 8, 65° 18′ 2″12 | $17^{\rm h} 51^{\rm m} 25$ °825, 68° 9' 5''75 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 30 <sup>m</sup> 26 <sup>s</sup> 329, -26° 29′ 54′′36 | $18^{\rm h}$ 7 <sup>m</sup> 6°94, 63° 54' 9′′36 | 18 <sup>h</sup> 18 <sup>m</sup> 16 <sup>s</sup> 104, 66° 25′ 11′′62 | 18 <sup>h</sup> 1 <sup>m</sup> 11 <sup>s</sup> 85, 66° 42′ 48′′02 | $17^{h} 48^{m} 30^{\circ}_{\circ} 906, 64^{\circ} 2' 28''_{\circ} 38$ | 18 <sup>h</sup> 19 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 797, 66° 18′ 29′′62 | 4 <sup>h</sup> 7 <sup>m</sup> 21 <sup>s</sup> 072, –47° 5′ 8′′36 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 19 <sup>s</sup> 101, -27° 37′ 4′′8 | 17 <sup>h</sup> 44 <sup>m</sup> 33 <sup>s</sup> 899, 65° 32′ 31′′28 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 5 <sup>s</sup> 105, –27° 23′ 35″:34 | 18 <sup>h</sup> 11 <sup>m</sup> 1 <sup>s</sup> 057, 68° 11′ 24′′15 | 4 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 9 <sup>s</sup> 459, –49° 55' 12''67 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 27°59, –28° 36′ 59′′83 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 43 <sup>s</sup> 921, -27° 47′ 16′′14 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 207, -27° 48′ 59′′15 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 33 <sup>s</sup> 75, -27° 46′ 44′′41 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 39 <sup>s</sup> 457, -27° 50′ 19′′67 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 27 <sup>s</sup> 3, –27° 48′ 39′′31 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 40°801, -27° 46′ 5″98 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 365, -27° 49′ 15′′24 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 34 <sup>s</sup> 955, -27° 48' 36''68 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 468, –27° 48′ 52′′26 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 40°584, -27° 45′ 43′′62 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 39 <sup>s</sup> 679, –27° 49′ 36′′61 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 707, –27° 47′ 48′′51 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 45°:146, -27° 45′ 53′′14 | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> 823, -27° 49′ 21′′98 |
| Name             | AT 2021abmd   | AT 2021abvx  | AT 2021ahou   | AT 2021ufr  | AT 2021vje  | AT 2021xdk  | AT 2021zha   | AT 2022acr                                    | AT 2022aenb   | AT 2022bpn                                      | AT 2022bzw  | AT 2022cbq  | AT 2022cwf  | AT 2022cxg  | AT 2022pxw   | AT 2022tqo  | AT 2022ugf  | AT 2022xxw   | AT 2022yxt   | AT 2023aafo  | AT 2023abyp   | AT 2023adss   | AT 2023adst   | AT 2023adsu  | AT 2023adsv   | AT 2023adsw   | AT 2023adsx  | AT 2023adsy   | AT 2023adsz   | AT 2023adta   | AT 2023adtb  | AT 2023adtc   | AT 2023adtd   | AT 2023adte   | AT 2023adtf   |

Article number, page 16 of 27

| Coordinates         Discovery $\Delta MJD$ $I_{\rm E}$ $Y_{\rm E}$ $J$ Mag         Mag         -313.56         > 26.71         > 25.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.61         > 26.  | Discovery $\Delta MJD$ $I_{\rm E}$ $Y_{\rm E}$ $J$ Mag         28.69         -313.56         > 26.71         > 25.61         > 25.72           20.21         2.25         2.25         2.25         2.25   | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$                            | $I_{\rm E} \qquad Y_{\rm E} \qquad J$ $> 26.71 \qquad > 25.61 \qquad > 25.52 \qquad \qquad$ | $Y_{\rm E}$ J<br>> 25.61 > 25 | 25<br>25 | в<br>5.74<br>5.0   | H <sub>E</sub><br>> 24.97 | Offset ('') 0.00 |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| $^{-1}$ , $^{-1}$ , $^{-27^{\circ}}$ , $^{-27^{\circ}}$ , $^{-27^{\circ}}$ , $^{-27^{\circ}}$ , $^{-28^{\circ}}$ , $^{-28^{\circ}}$ , $^{-21^{\circ}}$ , $^{-27^{\circ}}$ , $^{-27^{\circ}}$ , $^{-27^{\circ}}$ , $^{-29^{\circ}}$ , $^{-1}$  | 28.31 - 313.56 > 26.94 > 25.33<br>29.61 - 313.56 > 27.57   | $\begin{array}{rcl} -313.56 &> 26.94 &> 25.33 \\ -313.56 &> 27.57 & \end{array}$ | > 26.94 > 25.33<br>> 27.57  | > 25.33<br>                   |          | > 25.69<br>        | > 25.63<br>               | 0.00<br>0.00     |
| $32^{m} 35^{s} 852, -27^{\circ} 47' 19'' 04$ $28.29 -313.54$ > $25.51$ > $23.55$  | 28.29 -313.54 > 25.51 > 23.55  | -313.54 > $25.51$ > $23.55$  | > 25.51 > 23.55   | > 23.55                       |          | -                  |                           | 0.00             |
| $32^{\text{m}} 45^{\text{s}} 105, -27^{\circ} 45' 34'' 51$ $27.40 -313.56$ $> 27.79$ $> 25.99$  | 27.40 - 313.56 > 27.79 > 25.99   | -313.56 > 27.79 > 25.99  | > 27.79 > 25.99   | > 25.99                       |          | > 25.96            | > 25.82                   | 0.00             |
| $32^{m} 40^{s} 225, -27^{\circ} 49' 49'' 49 27.07 -313.54 > 27.42$  | 27.07 -313.54 > 27.42  | -313.54 > 27.42  | > 27.42   |                               |          |                    |                           | 0.00             |
| $32^{m} 29^{s}518 - 27^{\circ} 49' 16'' 08 27.22 - 313.41 > 26.98$  | 27.22 -313.41 > 26.08  | -313.41 > 26.98  | > 26.98   | V 24.00                       |          | 74.42              | / 24:42<br>               | 0.00             |
| $32^{m} 32^{s} 185, -27^{\circ} 46' 14'' 71$ $30.25 -313.54 > 28.33 > 25.16$  | 30.25 - 313.54 > 28.33 > 25.16   | -313.54 > $28.33$ > $25.16$  | > 28.33 > 25.16   | > 25.16                       |          | -                  | -                         | 0.00             |
| $32^{\text{m}} 37^{\text{s}} 175, -27^{\circ} 47' 36'' 75$ $27.32 -313.54$ > $27.41$ > $24.82$  | 27.32 -313.54 > 27.41 > 24.82  | -313.54 > 27.41 > 24.82  | > 27.41 > 24.82   | > 24.82                       |          | > 25.77            | > 25.59                   | 0.00             |
| $32^{\text{m}}38^{\text{s}}533, -27^{\circ}49' 22''01 29.26 -313.54 > 26.12 20^{\text{m}}$  | 29.26 -313.54 > 26.12  | -313.54 > 26.12  | > 26.12   |                               |          |                    |                           | 0.00             |
| $^{1}$ $^{1}$ $^{2}$ | 27.39 - 313.46 > 26.16   | -313.46 > $26.16$ $-$  | > 26.16   | 00.07 /                       |          |                    |                           | 0.00             |
| $32^{\text{m}} 32^{\text{s}} 32^{\text{s}} 362, -27^{\circ} 47' 20'' 55 $ $26.57 -313.54 $ > $27.97 $ > $26.17$   | 26.57 - 313.54 > 27.97 > 26.17   | -313.54 > $27.97$ > $26.17$  | > 27.97 > 26.17   | > 26.17                       |          | > 25.98            | > 25.72                   | 0.00             |
| $32^{\text{m}} 37$ ; $607$ , $-27^{\circ} 48' 38''$ ; $29.98 -313.54 > 26.80$   | 29.98 - 313.54 > 26.80   | -313.54 > 26.80  | > 26.80   |                               |          |                    |                           | 0.00             |
| $-32^{\text{m}} + 3^{\circ} + 72^{\circ} - 27^{\circ} + 6^{\circ} + 34^{\circ} + 25.91 - 313.56 > 26.79$  | 25.91 - 313.56 > 26.79   | -313.56 > $26.79$  | > 26.79   |                               |          | 1                  | -                         | 0.00             |
| $32^{\text{m}} 49^{\circ} 039, -27^{\circ} 45' 19'' 38$ $27.46 -313.56$ > $25.46$ > $23.29$   | 27.46 - 313.56 > 25.46 > 23.29   | -313.56 > 25.46 > 23.29  | > 25.46 > 23.29   | > 23.29                       |          | > 22.47            | > 22.25                   | 0.00             |
| $(32^{\text{m}} 48!416, -27^{\circ} 45' 50'.6 29.02 -313.56 > 25.94 > 23.63$  | 29.02 - 313.56 > 25.94 > 23.63   | -313.56 > 25.94 > 23.63  | > 25.94 > 23.63   | > 23.63                       |          | > 23.24            | > 23.07                   | 0.00             |
| $32^{m} 43^{\circ}_{*}409, -27^{\circ} 44' 13''_{*}11$ 28.63 -313.56 > 27.56  | 28.63 - 313.56 > 27.56   | -313.56 > 27.56  | > 27.56   |                               |          | 1                  |                           | 0.00             |
| $32^{m} 29^{\circ} 311, -27^{\circ} 48' 26'' 47$ 28.90 -313.46 > 26.01 > 25.16  | 28.90 - 313.46 > 26.01 > 25.16   | -313.46 > $26.01$ > $25.16$  | > 26.01 > 25.16   | > 25.16                       |          | -                  | -                         | 0.00             |
| $32^{\text{m}} 42^{\text{s}} 281, -27^{\circ} 47' 46'' 47 28.27 -313.56 > 25.78 > 22.78$  | 28.27 - 313.56 > 25.78 > 22.78   | -313.56 > 25.78 > 22.78  | > 25.78 > 22.78   | > 22.78                       |          |                    | 1                         | 0.00             |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | $17.76 -592.35  17.879 \pm 0.016  17.673 \pm 0.016  17.673 \pm 0.0016  17.673  17.67$ | $-592.35$ $17.879 \pm 0.016$ $17.673 \pm 0.0$                                    | $17.879 \pm 0.016$ $17.673 \pm 0.0$   | $17.673 \pm 0.0$              | 90       | $17.491 \pm 0.004$ | $17.619 \pm 0.005$        | 0.04             |
| $1.59^{m}$ 35?815, 68° 19′ 31″/6 19′/9 -541.31 > 26.66 > 23.4/5<br>h m issoi $1.600$ $10.60$ $10.60$ $5.000$  | 19.79 - 541.31 > 26.66 > 23.473  | -541.31 > 26.66 > 23.475   | > 20.00 $> 23.475$  | > 23.475                      |          | > 23.06            | > 22.91                   | 0.00             |
| $t^{*}$ 0" 40°84, -40°2′2/39 18.86 -5/2.18 > 23.70 > 20.90  | 18.86 - 5/2.18 > 23.70 > 20.90   | -5/2.16 > 23.70 > 20.90  | > 23./0 > 20.90   | )6.02 <                       | 2 2      | C.U2 <             | > 20.29                   | 0.00             |
| $7^{\text{h}} \Lambda M \approx 23^{\circ} 20^{\circ} 50^{\circ} 51^{\circ} 28$ 19.33 -56/.28 > 27/.68 > 25.1 - 27 $\Lambda M \approx 23^{\circ} 811 6.4^{\circ} 7.707/A$ 18.77 -403.55   | 19.33 -56/.28 > 2/.68 > 2.0.10   | -50/.25 > 2/.68 > 2/.61 > -20.355 =40355 =                                       | 80.12 <   | 1.62 <                        | 80       | > 24.83            | > 24.50                   | 0.00             |
| $7 \pm 33.011$ , $7 \pm 20.7$ , $10.12 \pm 79.53$ $-77.55$ $-275.55$ $> 22.17$  | 19.61 - 575.98 > 25.55 > 22.17   | -575.98 > $25.55$ > $22.17$  | > 25.55 > 22.17   | > 22.17                       |          | > 21.73            | > 22.22                   | 0.00             |
| $^{h}$ 25 <sup>m</sup> 0 <sup>s</sup> 98, -28° 29′ 30″ 19 18.82 -489.14 > 24.77 > 21.60   | 18.82 -489.14 > 24.77 > 21.6   | -489.14 > $24.77$ > $21.60$  | > 24.77 > 21.6  | > 21.6                        | 37       | > 21.23            | > 20.96                   | 0.00             |
| $h 56^{m} 39^{s}948, 66^{\circ} 47' 58''.35$ 19.41 $-436.02$ 24.362 $\pm 0.14$ > 21   | $19.41 - 436.02 24.362 \pm 0.14 > 21$  | $-436.02$ $24.362 \pm 0.14$ > 21   | $24.362 \pm 0.14$ > 21  | > 21                          |          | 0                  | > 20.3                    | 0.22             |
| $18^{h}$ 9m 8s725, 65° 41' 9'' 1 19.93 -376.00 26.118 ± 0.038 25.984 ± 0  | $19.93 - 376.00 \ 26.118 \pm 0.038 \ 25.984 \pm 0.038$   | $-376.00$ $26.118 \pm 0.038$ $25.984 \pm 0.038$                                  | $26.118 \pm 0.038  25.984 $   | $25.984 \pm 0$                | .069     | $26.107 \pm 0.094$ | $25.842 \pm 0.072$        | 0.29             |
| $54^{\text{m}} 27^{\text{s}}754, -48^{\circ} 22' 37''.96$ 19.00 $-420.23$ > 25.27 > 23.92   | 19.00 -420.23 > 25.27 > 23.92  | -420.23 > 25.27 > 23.92  | > 25.27 > 23.92   | > 23.92                       | 90       | > 23.52            | > 23.34                   | 0.00             |
| $7^{h} 46^{m} 52^{\circ} 023, 64^{\circ} 3' 58'' 09 20.25 - 344.71 > 24.276 > 20.64'$   | 20.25 - 344.71 > 24.276 > 20.64  | -344.71 > $24.276$ > $20.642$  | > 24.276 > 20.642   | > 20.642                      | 0        | > 19.981           | > 19.9                    | 0.00             |
| $h^{1} 18^{\text{m}} 20^{\circ}; 198, 65^{\circ} 7' 19''; 92 19.72 -349.22 > 26.286 > 23.47$  | 19.72 - 349.22 > 26.286 > 23.47  | -349.22 > $26.286$ > $23.47$   | > 26.286 > 23.47  | > 23.47                       |          | > 23.824           | > 23.824                  | 0.00             |
| $h 56^{m} 11^{\circ}_{\circ} 182, 68^{\circ} 19' 52'' 09 20.97 -337.53 > 25.055 > 24.194$   | 20.97 - 337.53 > 25.055 > 24.194   | -337.53 > 25.055 > 24.194  | > 25.055 > 24.194   | > 24.194                      |          | > 23.237           | > 23.237                  | 0.00             |
| $33^{m} 3^{s} 761, -27^{\circ} 20' 37'' 52 19.93 -330.23 > 26.189 > 22.712$   | 19.93 - 330.23 > 26.189 > 22.712   | -330.23 > $26.189$ > $22.712$  | > 26.189 > 22.712   | > 22.712                      |          | > 22.712           | > 22.712                  | 0.00             |
| $57^{\text{m}}$ 3.825, $-47^{\circ}$ 52' 48".64 19.54 $-354.58$ > 23.80 > 20.852  | 19.54 - 354.58 > 23.80 > 20.852  | -354.58 > 23.80 > 20.852   | > 23.80 > 20.852  | > 20.852                      |          | > 20.37            | > 19.94                   | 0.00             |
| $3^{h}$ 9 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> 142, 65° 33' 57".32 20.06 -286.20 > 26.943 > 23.06   | 20.06 -286.20 > 26.943 > 23.063  | -286.20 > 26.943 > 23.062  | > 26.943 > 23.063   | > 23.063                      | ~        | > 22.08            | > 22.08                   | 0.00             |
| $25^{\text{m}} 27^{\text{s}}759, -27^{\circ} 41' 42''46$ 18.63 $-295.74$ > 22.163 > 19.25   | 18.63 - 295.74 > 22.163 > 19.25  | -295.74 > 22.163 > 19.25   | > 22.163 > 19.25  | > 19.25                       | 3        | > 18.312           | > 18.339                  | 0.00             |
| $38^{\text{m}} 36^{\circ} 934, -28^{\circ} 27' 46'' 66$ 19.29 $-297.51$ > 25.222 > 21.06'   | 19.29 - 297.51 > 25.222 > 21.06  | -297.51 > 25.222 > 21.06   | > 25.222 > 21.06  | > 21.06                       | ŝ        | > 21.146           | > 21.144                  | 00.00            |
| $32^{m} 15^{\circ}{166}, -27^{\circ} 51' 26''{92}$ $28.34 -294.41$ $> 28.16$ $> 24.91$  | 28.34 - 294.41 > 28.16 > 24.91   | -294.41 > 28.16 > 24.91  | > 28.16 > 24.91   | > 24.91                       |          |                    |                           | 0.00             |
| $32^{\text{m}} 22^{\text{s}}751, -27^{\circ} 51' 55''58 28.76 -294.41 > 27.80 > 25.671$   | 28.76 - 294.41 > 27.80 > 25.671  | -294.41 > 27.80 > 25.67  | > 27.80 > 25.67]  | > 25.671                      |          | 1                  | -                         | 0.00             |

Table A.1. continued.

|   | Coordinates                | Discovery<br>Mag | AMJD    | IE                 | $Y_{ m E}$         | $J_{ m E}$         | $H_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{E}}$ | Offset ('') | Notes |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 22 <sup>s</sup> 49, –        | 27° 51' 0''13              | 27.89            | -294.41 | > 27.78            | > 25.446           |                    | -                                   | 0.00        |       |
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 18 <sup>s</sup> 789, -       | -27° 51' 30''7             | 26.58            | -294.41 | > 27.09            | > 23.507           |                    | ł                                   | 0.00        |       |
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 14 <sup>s</sup> 467, -       | -27° 51' 27''13            | 28.91            | -294.41 | > 28.07            | > 25.7             |                    |                                     | 0.00        |       |
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 23 <sup>s</sup> 295,         | -27° 51′ 1″84              | 25.96            | -294.41 | > 25.47            | > 23.66            |                    | ł                                   | 0.00        |       |
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 19 <sup>s</sup> 143, -       | -27° 52′ 14″51             | 29.48            | -294.41 | > 27.82            | > 25.507           |                    |                                     | 0.00        |       |
| $4^{\rm h} 20^{\rm m} 7^{\rm s}_{\cdot} 188$ ,              | -49° 1′ 5′′71              | 19.14            | -294.01 | > 25.94            | > 23.96            | > 23.465           | > 23.296                            | 0.00        |       |
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 50 <sup>m</sup> 11 <sup>s</sup> 628,         | -48° 4′ 14″23              | 19.46            | 60.43   | > 27.902           | > 25.109           | > 24.741           | > 24.424                            | 0.00        |       |
| 17 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 57 <sup>s</sup> 117         | , 64° 40′ 56′.'16          | 19.63            | 123.92  | > 23.171           | > 19.875           | > 18.96            | > 18.94                             | 0.00        |       |
| 17 <sup>h</sup> 45 <sup>m</sup> 8 <sup>s</sup> 568,         | 67° 41′ 30″51              | 18.08            | -167.12 | $23.04 \pm 0.2$    | > 19.83            | > 19.452           | > 19.282                            | 0.16        | L     |
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 033,         | -28° 24' 45''86            | 18.92            | -175.51 | > 24.88            | > 21.134           | > 20.698           | > 21.458                            | 0.00        |       |
| $17^{\rm h} 43^{\rm m} 53^{\rm s} 406$                      | , 66° 47′ 49′:'03          | 20.16            | -125.61 | > 22.94            | > 19.598           | > 19.039           | > 18.838                            | 0.00        | 4     |
| $17^{\rm h} 52^{\rm m} 50^{\circ}_{\circ}08^{\circ}$        | l, 65° 13′ 52′′89          | 19.73            | -120.64 | > 23.55            | > 19.549           | > 19.168           |                                     | 0.00        | 4     |
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 29 <sup>m</sup> 29 <sup>s</sup> 771,         | -28° 42′ 14′′63            | 20.29            | -214.82 | $24.259 \pm 0.035$ | > 21.665           | > 22.481           | > 22.253                            | 0.18        |       |
| $4^{\rm h} 15^{\rm m} 40^{\circ} 098$                       | , -49° 47′ 50′′7           | 19.57            | -148.77 | $24.108 \pm 0.026$ | $24.251 \pm 0.023$ | > 23.507           | > 23.274                            | 0.14        |       |
| 18 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 11 <sup>s</sup> 31          | , 64° 47′ 31″78            | 19.75            | -70.63  | $20.554 \pm 0.015$ | $20.705 \pm 0.006$ | $21.995 \pm 0.007$ | $21.62 \pm 0.005$                   | 0.07        |       |
| $17^{h} 38^{m} 21^{s}56$                                    | 5, 66° 48′ 59″:02          | 21.93            | -41.65  | $23.396 \pm 0.018$ | $22.3 \pm 0.019$   | > 21.596           | > 21.334                            | 0.16        |       |
| $3^{ m h} \ 35^{ m m} \ 45^{ m s} 57$                       | , -27° 57′ 9″.11           | 17.24            | -50.25  | $19.414 \pm 0.009$ | $19.445 \pm 0.003$ | $20.919 \pm 0.007$ | $20.43 \pm 0.004$                   | 0.04        |       |
| $3^{\rm h} 34^{\rm m} 57^{\rm s}_{ m c}65$                  | (, –29° 4′ 11″21           | 18.70            | -41.75  | $18.685 \pm 0.01$  | $18.997 \pm 0.005$ | $18.852 \pm 0.004$ | $18.738 \pm 0.003$                  | 0.06        | 1     |
| $4^{\rm h} 12^{\rm m} 13^{\rm s} 35$                        | 1, -48° 21′ 16″51          | 18.26            | -68.02  | $21.001 \pm 0.013$ | $21.482 \pm 0.004$ | $23.028 \pm 0.008$ | $22.441 \pm 0.004$                  | 0.22        | 9     |
| $18^{h} 4^{m} 15^{s} 59$                                    | <b>)</b> 3, 67° 29′ 32″ 52 | 20.56            | -14.98  |                    |                    | $20.284 \pm 0.004$ |                                     | I           |       |
| 3 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 29 <sup>s</sup> 23           | 3, -28° 45′ 13‴46          | 18.87            | -22.75  | $18.904 \pm 0.008$ | $20.399 \pm 0.005$ | $21.173 \pm 0.006$ | $21.043 \pm 0.003$                  | 0.06        |       |
| $18^{h} 12^{m} 12^{s}$                                      | 61, 65° 9′ 46′′53          | 22.61            | -16.81  | > 23.57            |                    |                    |                                     | 0.00        |       |
| 18 <sup>h</sup> 2 <sup>m</sup> 20 <sup>s</sup> 9            | 7, 67° 17′ 59′:07          | 21.96            | -15.62  | $21.5 \pm 0.009$   | $22.621 \pm 0.007$ |                    | > 23.488                            | 0.09        |       |
| $17^{h} 56^{m} 21^{s}$                                      | 37, 66° 45′ 43″.52         | 22.42            | -14.61  | $21.378 \pm 0.018$ | $20.235 \pm 0.024$ | $19.781 \pm 0.022$ | $20.547 \pm 0.056$                  | 0.01        |       |
| $17^{\rm h} 47^{\rm m} 26^{\rm s}$                          | 95, 64° 42′ 9′′64          | 21.06            | -100.33 | > 23.74            | > 20.804           | > 20.3             | > 20.095                            | 0.00        | 4     |
| $18^{h} 7^{m} 3.26$   | 66° 54′ 42′′09             | 21.39            | -134.66 | $23.569 \pm 0.065$ | > 21.578           | > 21.247           | > 20.974                            | 0.03        |       |
| $17^{h} 55^{m} 19^{s}2$                                     | 5, 65° 27' 51''53          | 22.06            | -135.10 |                    |                    |                    | > 21.348                            | I           | 0     |
| 17 <sup>h</sup> 45 <sup>m</sup> 56 <sup>s</sup>             | '4, 65° 28′ 56″.8          | 21.65            | -134.95 |                    |                    | > 19.6             | > 19.622                            | I           |       |
| 18 <sup>h</sup> 11 <sup>m</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup>             | 69, 65° 39′ 9″.7           | 22.20            | -133.47 | $24.52 \pm 0.03$   | > 23.25            | > 22.825           | > 22.671                            | 0.14        |       |
| 17 <sup>h</sup> 51 <sup>m</sup> 6 <sup>s</sup> <sup>2</sup> | t, 67° 24′ 2″41            | 22.45            | -132.01 | $26.187 \pm 0.048$ | > 26.372           | > 26.021           | > 26.224                            | 0.19        |       |
| 17 <sup>h</sup> 50 <sup>m</sup> 11 <sup>s</sup> (           | 54, 66° 38′ 2′′62          | 21.00            | -130.24 | > 19.42            | > 18.352           | > 18.064           | > 18.149                            | 0.00        | С     |
| $17^{\rm h} 51^{\rm m} 18^{\rm s} 7$                        | 7, 64° 46′ 48‴65           | 21.97            | -120.28 | > 20.07            | > 17.975           |                    |                                     | 0.00        | ю     |
| $17^{\rm h}58^{ m m}42^{ m s}4$                             | i1, 66° 39′ 38′′4          | 22.54            | -129.40 |                    |                    | > 19.764           |                                     | I           | 4     |
| $17^{\rm h} 44^{\rm m} 30^{\rm s} 1$                        | 2, 66° 16′ 51″ 23          | 23.09            | -99.24  | > 20.72            | > 19.322           | > 18.922           | > 18.59                             | 0.00        | 4     |
| $17^{h} 53^{m} 7^{s} 23$                                    | 3, 66° 53′ 15′′35          | 22.12            | -118.19 | > 25.3             | > 23.674           | > 23.225           | > 23.418                            | 0.00        |       |
| $17^{h} 49^{m} 25^{s}$                                      | 25, 66° 4′ 50′:′03         | 22.28            | -110.42 | $25.23 \pm 0.018$  | > 24.977           | > 24.747           | > 24.284                            | 0.05        |       |
| $17^{\rm h} 56^{\rm m} 44^{\rm s}$                          | 45, 66° 15' 5':'05         | 21.93            | -95.67  | > 25.92            | > 25.154           | > 24.942           | > 24.885                            | 0.00        |       |
| $17^{\rm h}  44^{\rm m}  53$                                | 3, 66° 27′ 0′′43           | 22.65            | -105.23 |                    | $22.933 \pm 0.007$ |                    |                                     | Ι           |       |
| $18^{h} 3^{m} 17^{s} 6$                                     | 1, 66° 23′ 54′′18          | 22.36            | -93.89  | $24.062 \pm 0.011$ | $23.297 \pm 0.006$ | $23.021 \pm 0.006$ | $23.764 \pm 0.036$                  | 0.08        | 9     |
| 17 <sup>h</sup> 44 <sup>m</sup> 17 <sup>s</sup>             | 7, 65° 45′ 10′.'57         | 23.06            | -102.02 | $24.95 \pm 0.021$  | $24.904 \pm 0.044$ | $24.882 \pm 0.025$ | > 24.767                            | 0.13        | 9     |
| $17^{\rm h} 51^{\rm m} 30^{\rm s}_{\cdot}2$                 | 28, 64° 31′ 28″36          | 22.47            | -100.28 | $24.483 \pm 0.013$ | $23.946 \pm 0.019$ | $23.565 \pm 0.021$ | $23.689 \pm 0.02$                   | 0.05        |       |

Table A.1. continued.

| 0              |
|----------------|
| - <del>-</del> |
| =              |
| - <del></del>  |
| ·=             |
| =              |
| ÷              |
| 0              |
| <u> </u>       |
|                |
|                |
|                |
|                |
| <              |
|                |
| 9              |
|                |
|                |
| <b></b>        |
| <u> </u>       |
|                |
|                |

| Name        | Coordinates   | Discovery | <b>MID</b> | I <sub>r</sub>     | Y.                 | $J_{\rm r}$        | H.                 | Offset | Notes |
|-------------|---|-----------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
|             |   | Mag       |            | 0                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | (,,)   |       |
| AT 2024tkx  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 3 <sup>m</sup> 58 <sup>s</sup> 87, 64° 39′ 9′′26      | 22.58     | -96.70     | $24.041 \pm 0.029$ | $22.519 \pm 0.019$ | $22.142 \pm 0.015$ | $22.017 \pm 0.015$ | 0.09   | 9     |
| AT 2024tld  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 50 <sup>m</sup> 18 <sup>s</sup> 84, 64° 50′ 57″ 46    | 22.14     | -87.34     | $24.691 \pm 0.014$ | $24.963 \pm 0.031$ | $24.537 \pm 0.023$ | > 25.284           | 0.01   | 9     |
| AT 2024tli  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 1 <sup>m</sup> 30 <sup>s</sup> 47, 67° 17′ 36′′56     | 21.64     | -84.41     | $23.047 \pm 0.015$ | $22.66 \pm 0.012$  | $22.435 \pm 0.01$  | $22.635 \pm 0.011$ | 0.06   |       |
| AT 2024tmf  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 54 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> 34, 64° 38' 45''41    | 22.54     | -80.58     | > 21.258           | > 19.372           | > 18.747           | > 18.482           | 0.00   | 4     |
| AT 2024tmg  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 59 <sup>m</sup> 44 <sup>s</sup> 47, 66° 30′ 47″ 14    | 22.48     | -75.67     | $22.881 \pm 0.013$ | $23.047 \pm 0.007$ | $23.095 \pm 0.007$ | $23.49 \pm 0.009$  | 0.18   |       |
| AT 2024tmz  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 3 <sup>m</sup> 12°96, 66° 25′ 19′′52                  | 22.07     | -79.89     | $24.178 \pm 0.013$ | $23.814 \pm 0.009$ | $23.781 \pm 0.009$ | > 23.728           | 0.10   |       |
| AT 2024tnw  | $18^{h} 10^{m} 22^{s} 43, 65^{\circ} 25' 47'' 16$                     | 21.88     | -76.79     | $24.521 \pm 0.015$ | $24.885 \pm 0.018$ | $24.815 \pm 0.045$ | > 25.134           | 0.09   |       |
| AT 2024tnx  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 9 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> 33, 65° 6' 24''.44     | 22.42     | -76.88     | $23.353 \pm 0.079$ |                    |                    |                    | 0.02   | 4     |
| AT 2024tny  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 22 <sup>s</sup> 65, 67° 4′ 35′′92     | 22.34     | -75.27     | $23.994 \pm 0.01$  | $23.67 \pm 0.012$  | $23.659 \pm 0.013$ | $24.183 \pm 0.023$ | 0.16   | 9     |
| AT 2024tpf  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 47 <sup>m</sup> 19 <sup>s</sup> 07, 64° 31′ 5′′18     | 22.40     | -74.32     | $25.187 \pm 0.029$ | > 20.208           | > 19.592           | > 19.65            | 0.04   |       |
| AT 2024tqg  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 10 <sup>m</sup> 50 <sup>s</sup> 54, 65° 11′ 44″98     | 22.01     | -62.68     | $23.149 \pm 0.011$ |                    | -                  | -                  | 0.01   |       |
| AT 2024tql  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 8 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 48, 66° 2′ 50′′56      | 21.99     | -54.45     | $22.833 \pm 0.026$ | $21.871 \pm 0.026$ | > 21.286           | > 20.793           | 0.19   |       |
| AT 2024tqm  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 7 <sup>s</sup> .66, 65° 23′ 57′′.03   | 21.74     | -54.69     | $22.741 \pm 0.184$ | $19.937 \pm 0.008$ | $19.468 \pm 0.009$ | $19.237 \pm 0.008$ | 0.01   |       |
| AT 2024trh  | $17^{\rm h}$ 50 <sup>m</sup> 46 <sup>s</sup> 32, 67° 22′ 22′′95       | 20.96     | -49.22     | $21.094 \pm 0.009$ | $21.014 \pm 0.006$ | $20.913 \pm 0.005$ | $21.242 \pm 0.005$ | 0.06   |       |
| AT 2024tsi  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 59 <sup>m</sup> 42 <sup>s</sup> 81, 65° 29′ 9′′82     | 22.23     | -33.59     | $21.615 \pm 0.01$  | $21.718 \pm 0.004$ | $21.881 \pm 0.005$ | $22.163 \pm 0.005$ | 0.13   | 9     |
| AT 2024tsv  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 3 <sup>m</sup> 48 <sup>s</sup> 81, 64° 38′ 32′′92     | 22.01     | -20.85     | $22.393 \pm 0.012$ | $22.536 \pm 0.06$  | $22.481 \pm 0.07$  | $22.695 \pm 0.07$  | 0.06   | 9     |
| AT 2024vwt  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 54 <sup>m</sup> 43°534, 64° 52′ 44′′56                | 20.26     | 64.89      | > 26.012           |                    |                    |                    | 0.00   |       |
| AT 2024wdv  | $17^{\rm h} 46^{\rm m} 46^{\rm s} 86, 64^{\circ} 47' 11''04$          | 22.15     | -135.27    | > 24.159           | > 21.638           | > 21.033           | > 20.757           | 0.00   | 4     |
| AT 2024xjo  | 4 <sup>h</sup> 19 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> 939, -47° 27′ 56′′15   | 18.93     | 27.31      | 21.01              | 21.376             | 21.318             | 22.015             | 0.15   |       |
| AT 2024yii  | $17^{h} 34^{m} 46^{\circ} 621, 65^{\circ} 33' 58'' 03$                | 19.98     | 92.08      | > 20.204           | > 19.725           | > 19.505           | > 19.166           | 0.12   | б     |
| AT 2024ymn  | 3 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 26 <sup>s</sup> 131, -29° 38' 31''31   | 21.13     | 59.00      | > 20.785           | > 20.921           | > 20.639           | > 20.423           | 0.00   | 9     |
| AT 2024zdi  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 53 <sup>m</sup> 20 <sup>s</sup> 19, 65° 8′ 17′′04     | 22.90     | -16.55     | > 23.528           |                    | > 23.673           | > 23.654           | 0.00   |       |
| AT 2024zed  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 48 <sup>m</sup> 43 <sup>s</sup> 7, 64° 52′ 26′′74     | 22.46     | -134.28    | $24.494 \pm 0.026$ | $24.441 \pm 0.025$ | > 23.721           | > 23.552           | 0.16   |       |
| AT 2024zee  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 1 <sup>m</sup> 20 <sup>s</sup> 47, 65° 8′ 32′′92      | 22.51     | -134.38    | > 24.808           | > 22.24            | > 22.24            | > 23.041           | 0.00   | 4     |
| AT 2024zef  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 3 <sup>m</sup> 56 <sup>s</sup> 6, 67° 45′ 2′′16       | 22.13     | -133.38    | $25.944 \pm 0.033$ | > 24.428           | > 24.276           | > 24.042           | 0.18   |       |
| AT 2024zeg  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 0 <sup>m</sup> 14 <sup>s</sup> 75, 64° 51′ 5′′38      | 22.04     | -134.49    | $25.824 \pm 0.036$ | > 25.994           | > 25.979           | > 25.747           | 0.16   |       |
| AT 2024zfy  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> 86, 65° 39′ 57″ 49    | 21.95     | -21.72     | $22.612 \pm 0.036$ | > 21.079           | > 20.823           | > 20.803           | 0.18   | 4     |
| AT 2024zgs  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 0 <sup>m</sup> 21 <sup>s</sup> 57, 67° 39′ 20′′47     | 21.94     | -89.02     | $24.01 \pm 0.025$  | > 23.435           | > 23.435           | > 22.696           | 0.10   |       |
| AT 2024zih  | $17^{h} 55^{m} 29^{\circ} 94, 65^{\circ} 48' 45'' 83$                 | 22.12     | -53.99     | $24.98 \pm 0.05$   | $24.079 \pm 0.065$ | $24.563 \pm 0.051$ | $24.386 \pm 0.065$ | 0.05   |       |
| AT 2024zin  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 46 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> 48, 65° 12′ 38′′47    | 22.65     | -50.36     | $22.221 \pm 0.05$  | > 20.371           | > 19.82            | > 19.787           | 0.09   |       |
| AT 2024zis  | $18^{\rm h} 10^{\rm m} 29^{\rm s}_{\rm s} 32, 66^{\circ} 40' 56'' 77$ | 22.40     | -43.89     | $22.845 \pm 0.025$ | $22.454 \pm 0.2$   | $22.598 \pm 0.2$   | $22.562 \pm 0.2$   | 0.04   |       |
| AT 2024zjg  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 6°28, 64° 49′ 26′′79                  | 22.61     | -18.64     | $23.179 \pm 0.01$  |                    |                    |                    | 0.07   |       |
| AT 2024zjh  | $17^{h} 55^{m} 30^{s} 28, 64^{\circ} 44' 41'' 86$                     | 22.69     | -18.55     | $23.684 \pm 0.012$ | $24.091 \pm 0.009$ | $24.242 \pm 0.013$ | > 25.139           | 0.11   |       |
| SN 2021aele | 3 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 564, -28° 30′ 51′′52   | 19.17     | -999.19    | > 22.941           | > 20.893           | > 20.534           | > 20.408           | 0.00   | С     |
| SN 2022000  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 13 <sup>m</sup> 56 <sup>s</sup> 288, 64° 35′ 3″99     | 18.75     | -745.41    | > 25.293           | > 23.04            | > 22.686           | > 22.357           | 0.00   |       |
| SN 2022sje  | 18 <sup>h</sup> 22 <sup>m</sup> 5 <sup>s</sup> 32, 66° 37′ 28′′16     | 18.15     | -690.45    | > 26.485           | > 24.209           | > 23.738           | > 23.626           | 0.00   |       |
| SN 2022wen  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 47 <sup>m</sup> 14 <sup>s</sup> 639, 64° 15′ 50′′57   | 19.94     | -660.90    | > 21.594           | 18.662             | > 18.132           | > 17.741           | 0.00   |       |
| SN 2023aew  | $17^{h} 40^{m} 51^{\circ}_{\circ} 37, 66^{\circ} 12' 22''75$          | 18.05     | -541.69    | $26.214 \pm 0.06$  | $25.579 \pm 0.03$  | > 25.662           | $25.074 \pm 0.019$ | 0.16   |       |
| SN 2023psq  | 17 <sup>h</sup> 54 <sup>m</sup> 19 <sup>s</sup> 329, 64° 13′ 32′′18   | 18.54     | -335.85    | > 25.442           | > 23.013           | > 22.55            | > 22.5             | 0.00   | 2     |
| SN 2023uqu  | $17^{\rm h} 47^{\rm m} 47^{\rm s} 83, 64^{\circ} 20' 57'' 24$         | 20.15     | -285.94    | $23.811 \pm 0.011$ | $24.105 \pm 0.008$ | > 24.77            | $24.617 \pm 0.015$ | 0.05   |       |
| SN 2023vcn  | 3 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 17 <sup>s</sup> ,472, -27° 45′ 3′′ 81  | 19.61     | -295.12    | $25.194 \pm 0.037$ | > 23.135           | > 22.842           | > 22.81            | 0.18   |       |

| -          |
|------------|
| 0          |
| - A        |
| _          |
| 8          |
| · 🗃 👘      |
| +          |
| 9          |
| 0          |
| ×          |
| 0          |
|            |
| _          |
| ` <b>-</b> |
| -          |
| <          |
|            |
| -          |
| _          |
| _          |
| _          |
| _60        |
|            |
| - ·        |

| Name        | Coordinates   | Discovery | AMJD  | $I_{ m E}$        | $Y_{ m \scriptscriptstyle E}$ | $J_{ m E}$         | $H_{ m E}$         | Offset | Notes |
|-------------|---|-----------|-------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
|             |   | Mag       |       |                   |                               |                    |                    | (,,)   |       |
| SN 2024abla | 3 <sup>h</sup> 50 <sup>m</sup> 18 <sup>s</sup> 947, -47° 46' 57''.96        | 18.38     | 73.24 | > 26.28           | > 23.708                      | > 23.447           | > 23.438           | 0.00   |       |
| SN 2024pvw  | $18^{\rm h} 19^{\rm m} 44^{\rm s}_{\rm *}103, 66^{\circ} 0' 47''_{\rm *}48$ | 19.23     | 3.05  | $20.623 \pm 0.01$ | $20.859 \pm 0.005$            | $21.066 \pm 0.007$ | $21.633 \pm 0.009$ | 0.18   |       |
|             |   |           |       |                   |                               |                    |                    |        |       |

# Appendix B: Target I<sub>E</sub> cutouts

In this appendix we show the  $I_{\rm E}$  cutouts for each of the the TNS reported targets we followed up in this work. This is primarily for reference and to demonstrate visually the capability of *Euclid* to detect these objects.



**Fig. B.1.**  $12'' \times 12''$  cutouts of the targets as seen in *Euclid I*<sub>E</sub> mosaic images. The red circles are centred on the position of the transient as reported in TNS. A total of 156 cutouts are shown, corresponding to the total number of cutouts for which it was possible to recover an *I*<sub>E</sub> cutout, including those where the photometric procedure failed (see Sect. 2.2 for a discussion of this).

# A&A proofs: manuscript no. output



Fig. B.2. As Fig. B.1



Fig. B.3. As Fig. B.1

# **Appendix C: Light curves**

In this appendix we show additional light curves of several transients. In Fig. C.1 we show the light curves of the classified SNe listed in Table A.1 that are not discussed in Sect. 5. In Fig. C.2 we show additional light curves of some of the unclassified transients listed in Table A.1, showing *Euclid* photometry detections. In Fig. C.3 we show light curves likely produced by AGN activity (AT 2021vje), by stellar activity (AT 2022bpn), or of unclear nature (AT 2024bkj). Finally, in Fig. C.4 we show some examples of poorly-sampled light curves discovered in the JADES (AT 2023adsv and AT 2023adsv) and WFST (AT 2024tgn) surveys.



Fig. C.1. Light curves plots of classified SNe including estimates of the epochs of the *Euclid* detections and variations in magnitudes from peak, for some of them.



Fig. C.2. Light curves plots of some unclassified transients including Euclid photometry or upper limits.

# A&A proofs: manuscript no. output



Fig. C.3. Light curves plots of some unclassified transients likely produced by AGN activity (AT 2021vje), by stellar activity (AT 2022bpn), or of unclear nature (AT 2024bkj).



Fig. C.4. Light curves plots of some poorly sampled unclassified transients discovered in the JADES (AT 2023adsv and AT 2023adts) and WFST (AT 2024tgn) surveys and including *Euclid* photometry or upper limits.